The Ford Foundation is a private, nonprofit institution dedicated to the public well-being. It seeks to identify and contribute to the solution of problems of national or international importance. The Foundation works mainly by granting funds to institutions and organizations for experimental, demonstration, and developmental efforts that give promise of producing significant advances in various fields. **Annual Report 1975**

Occasionally, the Foundation itself administers projects. As an additional means of accomplishing program objectives, the Foundation in some instances makes grants to individuals whose professional talent or experience corresponds with its programs and activities. The Foundation was established in 1936 by Henry Ford and Edsel Ford and made grants largely to Michigan charitable and educational institutions until 1950, when it became a national organization. Including the fiscal year 1975,
the Foundation has made commitments totalling $4.8 billion, including grants to 7,108 institutions and organizations. The recipients have been located in all fifty states, the District of Columbia, and various foreign countries, especially in less-developed areas.

☐ A board of sixteen trustees determines Foundation policy. A professional staff evaluates grant applications, explores means and opportunities to stimulate advances in fields with which the Foundation is concerned, works with prospective grantees, and recommends proposals for approval by the president and the trustees. ☐ Applications for grants should set forth objectives and details of methods for carrying them out, the qualifications of the persons and institutions involved, and an estimated budget. The Foundation does not use grant-application forms. Domestic applications and inquiries about how nominations or applications for grants to individuals may be made should be sent to the Secretary of the Foundation; applicants in foreign countries where the Foundation has an office should direct their proposals to the resident representative. ☐ Activities supported by the Foundation grants must be charitable, educational, or scientific under the appropriate provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and Regulations. Because its funds are limited in relation to the great number of worthwhile proposals it receives, the Foundation limits its grants to efforts likely to have wide effect. It does not grant funds for purely personal or local needs, the routine operating costs of institutions, programs for which government support is readily available, nor, usually, the construction or maintenance of buildings. ☐ The Foundation is independent of other institutions, commercial and noncommercial.
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The President’s Review

The last year has seen an unusual amount of attention to the world of philanthropy as a whole, and at least in connection with federal tax policy there will probably be continuing debate in 1976. The center of attention was the report of the Commission on Private Philanthropy and Public Needs—the Filer Report—more than two years in the making. The report has reminded us vividly that the field is so big and varied that it does not yield easily to generalization. Although philanthropic organizations (both those that give and those that receive in order that they may render service) have some things in common—they are not for profit, they are not partisan—they are enormously diverse. What each one is and does is a question for each to answer separately. The clarity of the answers varies. Hardly anyone wonders what a symphony orchestra does, and most people think they know what a church is. The role of colleges and universities is not as clear as it was once supposed to be, and there is a widening dialogue about the proper functions of hospitals in the national health system. Less-established philanthropic enterprises are called upon constantly to explain their varied purposes, and private foundations in particular turn out to have all sorts of different obligations.

Here the Ford Foundation is part of the problem, for as it is the largest grant-making philanthropy in the country, it is also the most complex. In 1975 alone, it made 600 grants to organizations, and 1,145 directly to individuals (indirectly it assisted innumerable other individuals); it also operated its own projects and shared in common undertakings with other private and public agencies. The accounting that is offered in the body of this report will help the specialized reader in each field, and it is intended to open the door to further inquiry from public authority, the press, and the general society. But we often hear, even from within our own ranks, questions that remind us how hard it can be to explain what we are doing and why.

So this year, by setting out a few broad classes of purpose and a few examples in each class, I want to try to give the interested general reader some feeling for what we think we are about. My specific examples are drawn from the grants of 1975, and as such they may serve to illustrate the line that we try to draw, in every case, in every year, from the specific grant to the values and purposes for which we stand. Readers who want more systematic accounts of particular choices we make are referred to my last three Reviews,
which discuss our overseas operations (1972), our relations to government (1973), and our basic fields of action under retrenchment (1974). And those who wish to know where we are active currently should ask for our pamphlet, *Current Interests of the Ford Foundation, 1976 and 1977.*

**Working Against Evils**

First, and most generally, there are some things we work against, simply because they are wrong in themselves. We are against discrimination on grounds of race and sex, for example, and that simple but sweeping conviction governs about half of all that we do in our domestic programs. Almost ten years ago our Trustees reached a formal and clear decision to place the cause of equal opportunity at the head of our domestic agenda, and they have held to that decision with a constancy that has not been shaken by any shift of political or philanthropic fashion.

In our efforts for equal opportunity we have made no institutional choice on such complex and debated issues as the question whether the concept is incomplete without a further commitment to some degree of equality of result. As an institution we are neither egalitarian nor meritocratic; we see both merit and equality as important values, but neither as an absolute. On such complex and inherently debatable questions we would think it unacceptable dogmatism for the nation's largest foundation to align itself on one side. We do not feel that way about the more general cause of equal opportunity. In working for that cause, and against all forms of racial or sexual discrimination, we believe we are working in a cause that is truly and clearly as American as the idea of fair play—because fair play is exactly what discrimination is not.

The ways and means of our work for equal opportunity are neither few nor simple. Our two largest current programs are the six-year, $100 million effort for minority higher education (page 5) and our continuing support for Community Development Corporations (page 18). Each has a complex justification, one relating to the needs of black colleges and the advanced studies of gifted members of minority groups, and the other to the small but critical role of flexible private funds in reinforcing strong community leadership. And in each case—as in nearly all our work today—there is need for a thoroughly professional relation between our program staff and
those who receive and manage our grants. There is no way to overstate the importance of such professionalism in avoiding the sentimental notion that because a grant has a good purpose it will naturally have good results.

Nearer the edge of controversy, and thus perhaps more instructive, are the major grants we continue to make to civil rights organizations. It can be argued —and it once was in this foundation—that philanthropic support for litigation is an inappropriate choosing up of sides. But when we decided that there was only one right side to the question of equal opportunity, we soon came to the conclusion that support for the civil rights and legal defense activities of responsible and conscientious groups was a natural, indeed an inescapable, part of any comprehensive philanthropic strategy. The kinds of activities discussed at page 21 have recently been reviewed for us by Robert McKay, former dean of the New York University Law School. We share his belief that we should perhaps have entered this field sooner, but we are also encouraged by his view that our broad and comprehensive program of grants to outstanding organizations has helped to identify civil rights “as a unifying concept beyond particular categories of race, national origin, and sex.”

There are other evils against which we work. The evil of hunger and malnutrition is the target of our massive and many-stranded effort in international agriculture (page 36). We also support efforts against inert educational processes (page 4), ineffective systems of criminal justice (page 25), inequitable school finance (page 9), and the vicious circle that entraps so many of our most disadvantaged citizens (page 17).

All of these evils are even more complicated than discrimination, but they all relate to the simple idea of fair play. And all of them, and fair play itself, also relate to certain larger ideas. For us two of the most compelling are that there are large human possibilities in every man and woman, and that a good society is one that constantly seeks to enlarge those possibilities and to reform any social, legal, and institutional patterns that restrict them.

**Enlarging Understanding**
Second, much of our work is aimed at a better understanding of hard questions. Although we recognize subjective and emotional elements in the issues we address, we are rationalists in the sense that we think better
understanding can lead to better solutions. But hard problems, like those of the most disadvantaged, have shown that they are nothing if not stubborn, and their best remedies take time to develop. Therefore much of our work, even on evils whose existence is plain as day, is aimed at better understanding. Sometimes we seek such understanding by the support of trial demonstrations, like those described at page 17 and page 24; more often we give money for research. Our research concerns, while selective, are wide. We have a long record of support toward the better understanding of major foreign societies (page 41); of the nature of the arms race (page 56), ever-changing but always dangerous; of the international economy (page 55); of the many facets and effects of population growth (page 57), and of the almost infinitely complicated relation between man's environment and his use of natural resources (page 14).

We are not always unanimous among ourselves about the value of research; a proposal that is deeply compelling to some may look like an intellectual boondoggle to others. We do resist the conventional distinction between pure and applied research: how pure is $E = mc^2$? In some fields—reproductive science—we think we see a need for quite fundamental scientific inquiry. In others—the economics of the arts—we find not only a shortage of the most elementary information, but very little knowledge of how much in fact the public may be ready to pay for good performances (page 32). But in the main the research that we support is concerned, at one level or another, with the better understanding of problems where action is required. In that sense it is action-oriented.

We do have one invariant rule in all the research we support: it is the research institution or the individual investigator, not the Ford Foundation, that is responsible for the result. We are accountable, to ourselves and to others, for the choices we make among the hundreds of institutions and thousands of individuals who come to us with proposals. Occasionally we ourselves go in search of people to work on a problem we think critical and understudied. But this responsibility for choosing carries with it a parallel responsibility to keep our hands off the research itself.

Our judgment in selecting places and people to help has never been infallible, and it is entirely proper for foundation-watchers to check our choices for both fairness and quality. We find some comfort in the fact that
our noisier critics seem to come equally from the hard left and the hard right in politics, and equally from those who think we do too much research (usually activists) and those who think we do too little (usually scholars). If there is a particular style of criticism that I myself find more unpersuasive than another, it is the argument that more research is bad because it may have political results considered wrong by the critic. We encounter that argument from some businessmen when we study possible restraints on resource use, and we meet it among ardent opponents of nuclear energy when we support studies of that hard subject by people whose minds are still open. When interest groups anywhere announce their opposition to research, they may be bearing witness to the need for it.

The polarizing mentality, then, is not our preferred partner. While we ourselves, both in civil rights and in public interest law (pages 21 and 25), have supported people who choose sides and try cases, we support the people and the process, never the specific case—and we do so only after a careful and conscientious finding that there are legitimate interests, even highly important ones, that deserve a legal hearing they could not get without our help. I have explained our thinking about public interest law in greater detail in earlier reports (see especially, President's Review, 1970). This year it is time to draw attention to our growing interest in the mediation of conflict and the resolution of disputes (pages 15 and 22) and the narrowing of gaps of understanding, as in our conferences on news and the law (page 30). In some of these disputed issues the contests are not between right and wrong but between rights, and we hope that the parties will find that they have a shared interest in accommodation. Where our funds can help to that end, we will count it as one more way of giving effect to our general commitment to the enlargement of public understanding.

In enlarging the understanding of hard problems, we have a continuous concern for thickening the ranks of those who have such understanding. When we work on arms control, one of our hopes is to increase the number of those who can help understand its complexities. And a very large part of our work in developing countries has been concerned with helping talented and committed members of those societies deepen their own capacities for understanding and addressing the massive economic and social problems of their countries. Fellowship programs, training awards, and the development
of centers for advanced study (pages 36-56) are all part of this concern. A parallel concern has led us to make great efforts in training leaders for American public education (page 10).

The research strand in our work has a necessary connection with our commitment to free inquiry, and in countries whose politics are not those of liberal democracy this connection can come under strain. This last year was not a good one for political or intellectual liberty in a number of countries in which we have worked. The difficulty is not a new one, and we have a long record of help for victims of political repression—whether right or left. Last year’s new case was that of refugees from Indochina (page 41). But this year we are reviewing all that we do in the light of a concern, among staff and Trustees alike, for finding new ways to strengthen the defense and support of elementary human rights. It is too soon to say just what we shall be able to do, but not too soon to say that in the worldwide effort to resist repression and inhumanity, and to assist freedom of inquiry and expression, we have a sustained record that we wish to uphold as new challenges appear. We cannot decide for any society how it shall be governed, nor can we insist, in our own imperfection, that some absolute standard of freedom be met by all with whom we associate. But we can and will hold to our own standards in deciding whether and how to work in places where basic rights of inquiry and expression are constrained. Sustained and general violation of human rights is one of the evils we have chosen to be against.

**Compelling Ventures**

Third, there are kinds of activity and kinds of institutions that we support out of a conviction, sometimes almost too deep for articulation, that they are good in themselves. Here the three most obvious examples are our concerns for the higher learning, for public broadcasting, and for the arts. In earlier years these interests have been larger, in financial terms, than they were in 1975 or will be in the tight years immediately ahead. Where once we could hope to have a direct effect, by making massive challenge grants, on the basic financial strength of selected colleges, universities, and symphony orchestras, or by being the largest single grant-maker in public broadcasting, we have for several years had to accept a more modest and auxiliary role. Yet we are
proud of what we have done to help artistic institutions by our recent innovation of cash reserve grants (page 31); we persist in trying to help in the search for ways and means to relieve the general financial crisis of higher education (page 7), and we are encouraged by the success and promise of our current ventures in public broadcasting (page 28).

Of the three fields here in question, the youngest and most uncertain has been public broadcasting. It may be strange to place it on a par with a set of institutions as grand—if strained—as those of American higher learning, or a field of effort as nearly absolute in its claims on man's hopes as the arts. But that is in fact how it has been for us. We have believed, in good years and in bad, that public broadcasting could become a durable force for enlightenment, artistic expression, and entertainment, in ways that could not be expected from any other institution. And our involvement in that belief has been comparable ($312 million) to what we have dedicated to the higher learning ($1.1 billion) and the arts ($238 million). We have made many mistakes and false starts, but we have stayed with our belief, and this year our actions have been taken in a mood of growing optimism. Public broadcasting still does not enjoy the access to long-range public funding that it has so sorely needed, but its standing in Washington has never been higher. Meanwhile its public and corporate support have continued to grow, and its audiences have improved dramatically. The Public Broadcasting Service has provided critically important leadership to the system as a whole. One begins to believe that public broadcasting has prospects as bright as the best of our hopes. The principal determinant of its future will be public support, and that in turn will depend on the courage, the programming skill, and the professional integrity of its leadership.

Because we reviewed it with special care in 1975, let me speak also to our concern with the arts. We are not interested in the arts primarily because they are in financial trouble—though they are, and though our particular resources and skills in recent years have been applied largely to that part of the artistic scene. But nothing could more clearly illustrate the essentially instrumental role of our work. Offering technical assistance and making grants are means; the end is the strengthening of the arts as a great part of the human experience and the human possibility. We Americans are still at an early stage of learning how to support high art in a federal democracy, although giant steps have
been taken in Washington in the last decade. This Foundation has had no single program more amply justified by its use of skillful means to a high end.

The Role of Individuals

Finally, not only do we make grants to individuals and, in institutional grants, stay mindful of the individuals who will manage them, but in all our ways of work we too are individuals—individual program officers representing our institution or individual Trustees constituting a remarkably collegial Board.

It is right to offer thanks to all the kinds of individuals who constitute our wonderfully diverse world—to our Trustees, who are as firm in setting basic policy as they are spacious in their understanding of what a staff is for; to the thousands of men and women all over the world who put the Foundation’s money to work and so determine its value; and to the hundreds of consultants and advisers, paid and unpaid, who are a broad and constant source of counsel and a scouting line against mistakes.

But what I would put first, for 1975, is the Foundation’s own staff. The record from which I have been drawing was written, in essence, by the Foundation’s staff, and written in a year of major cutbacks. The retrenchment I explained a year ago went forward all last year, and it continues. With a lift from the revival of the capital markets we are now a bit ahead of schedule on all fronts—reduction of expenditures, reduction of personnel, and reinforcement of our capital base. Against this stern backdrop the quality of the Foundation’s staff has been more vivid to me than ever before. It is outstanding in its steady concern for excellence, fairness, humanity, and enterprise. It has earned the right to be counted high among the vital assets of this institution.

The 1975 fiscal year and the months since have taken an unusually heavy toll of present and former colleagues:

—Vivian W. Henderson, a Trustee since 1969, died at the age of fifty-two. He enriched our deliberations with wide and direct experience and deep insight into many of our major concerns—for higher education, for equal opportunity, and for the continuing effort to strike at the roots of poverty. We will miss his joyous good sense, his understanding of the politics of social
change, his insistence that we strike a balance between research and tangible action, and his concern for the human condition everywhere in the world.

—Paul G. Hoffman, who served as president of the Foundation from 1951 to 1953, died at the age of eighty-three. The zest, boldness, and generosity that had marked his work as a businessman and as administrator of the Marshall Plan gave his service to this foundation a special value that remains a stimulus to us twenty years later. After leaving the Foundation he remained a warm-hearted friend as he built the great nearby institution that is now the U.N. Development Programme.

—Henry T. Heald, who died at the age of seventy-one, served as president for nine years, 1956-1965. He gave firm and constructive direction to the management of our affairs and built confidence in the institution among its own staff, among its constituents, and in the public at large.

Through retirement and resignation, we lost the valued services of three Trustees. The retirement was that of John Loudon, our first Trustee from outside the United States, an authentic citizen of the world, a vigorous chairman of Board committees on international affairs and personnel and administration, and a rich contributor to our efforts to appreciate and assist the strivings of people in many cultures. The resignations were Kermit Gordon, economist, educator, public servant, and leader of the Brookings Institution, who brought to all our work an extraordinary measure of judgment, fairness, and comprehension, and Edwin H. Land, a scientist-citizen who enlarged our counsels with his unique insights and who demonstrated that concern and clarifying skepticism are complementary allies, as are imagination and rigor, affection and candor.

At the same time we made two important additions to the Board. One is Hedley Donovan, editor in chief of Time Inc., who brings us a rich experience of national and international affairs and a special understanding of communications that we have lacked for several years. The other is Ralf Dahrendorf, director of the London School of Economics and Political Science, who reinforces our awareness of the complexities of foreign affairs and of the role of social research in understanding the dynamics of human and institutional relations.

McGEORGE BUNDY
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In an institution large enough to be organized departmentally, worthwhile ideas outside departmental interests sometimes fall by the wayside. One of the principal purposes of the Foundation's program in Public Policy and Social Organization, which is staffed by men and women from all the regular divisions and offices, is to consider proposals related to important public issues that might not fit into the interests of the Foundation's regular divisions.

Another purpose is to assist innovative advanced training programs for people seeking careers in public service. Toward this end, grants have been made since 1973 to graduate public-policy centers at seven universities* and the Rand Corporation. The universities place primary emphasis on their master's programs, although most of them also offer Ph.D.s; Rand offers only the doctorate. While there are differences in approach among the various programs, their core curricula are shaped by a common interest in interdisciplinary, applied social science training.

In contrast to such general support of public-policy training and research, the Foundation assists investigations of particular issues. This year, for example, grants were made to scholars at Yale and Brandeis universities to study new ways of dealing with the worldwide phenomenon of inflation. They are concentrating on the advantages and disadvantages of the "indexing" approach, which ties financial arrangements such as wage contracts and borrowing agreements to price indexes. While indexing may reduce uncertainties and inequities that some economists believe contribute to inflation, it also may aggravate the problem of adjusting prices to changes in supply and demand.

Other subjects for which research or publication assistance was given included international monetary problems, public responses to the Watergate crisis, Congressional investigatory powers, the law of Presidential impeachment, and Constitutional and political issues of secrecy. The Foundation distributed a report it had commissioned on issues concerning Vietnam veterans, deserters, and draft-evaders and provided funds for review of new developments on the subject since the study.

As part of its concern for more equitable treatment of women, the Foundation made a grant to the Urban Institute to help establish a policy research center for women's studies. The center will focus on the effect on women of issues in such matters as social security, tax-

---

*The universities are: Carnegie-Mellon, California (Berkeley), Duke, Harvard, Michigan, Stanford, and Texas.
ation, and child support. (For other actions related to the status of women, see pages 7, 13, and 23.)

To encourage wider access to and participation in public-policy decision making, grants were made to organizations of economists and accountants which provide analysis and counsel to citizens groups that are ordinarily underrepresented in policy making. Recipients were the Public Interest Economics Foundation and the National Association of Accountants for the Public Interest. The former, for example, provided the Sierra Club with an analysis of the possible effects of proposed tax reform measures on environmental quality.

Another grant this year assists the Assembly of Behavioral and Social Sciences at the National Academy of Sciences in reorganizing its program of policy research. Dealing with such national problems as drug addiction and racial integration, the unit brings the results of its studies to the attention of policy makers through conferences and research papers. Supplemental funds for research on public-policy issues of particular interest to blacks were granted to the Joint Center for Political Studies at Howard University and the Black Economic Research Center.
Education and Research

The Education and Research Division is concerned primarily with equality of opportunity, the financing and management of education, instructional reforms, and improved understanding of the learning process.

The division’s work in these areas is carried out by the Office of Higher Education, which deals with the undergraduate and graduate levels, and by the Office of Public Education, which seeks to improve the preschool, elementary, and secondary education levels.

A major interest of both offices is the way in which educational policies are shaped and implemented by federal and state authorities and by educational institutions and agencies. To help clarify educational issues, the Foundation in recent years has assisted such projects as the Newman Report on Higher Education, which was initiated by the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare in 1971, and the work of the Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education and the National Committee for Citizens in Education. Continuing this interest, the Foundation this year granted $150,000 to the Aspen Institute’s Program in Education for a Changing Society for a series of research papers and workshops over the next two years. They are aimed at providing policy makers with analyses and data on subjects ranging from federal-state relations to equity of financing, curriculum changes, collective bargaining, and opportunities for life-long learning. The program is directed by Francis Keppel, former Harvard University Dean of Education and U.S. Commissioner of Education.

HIGHER EDUCATION

Reforms in Learning. The University of Mid-America (UMA), the first attempt in this country to provide higher education on a mass basis through a combination of television and radio, independent learning, and personal consultation, was granted $700,000. Modeled after Britain’s Open University, UMA is an independent institution operating through the universities of Kansas, Nebraska, and Missouri, and Iowa State and Kansas State universities. It offers a four-year degree program and non-credit courses to residents of Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, and Iowa. The grant will enable UMA to develop courses that will be broadcast over radio and television stations and to establish learning centers throughout the four-state area where students can receive counseling and study materials. Fifty-five courses are planned by 1979.

UMA is part of a growing movement in the United States to provide alternative ways for students of varying ages and backgrounds to get college degrees through
individual study outside of the traditional classroom pattern. Some of the more established efforts supported by the Foundation over the last five years include the Union for Experimenting Colleges and Universities, which administers the University Without Walls through some thirty institutions; New York’s Empire State College, and, at the graduate level, Nova University in Florida.

A nontraditional experiment directed toward adult Puerto Ricans on the U.S. mainland was assisted in 1975 with a grant of $280,000. Known as Universidad Borica, it seeks to provide training from high school equivalency courses to the master’s degree. The first of several learning centers it hopes to establish throughout the country is in a small loft building in Brooklyn, N.Y.

While such alternative routes to higher education are becoming increasingly popular, there is still not enough evidence of their effectiveness. Therefore, three grants were awarded this year for evaluation and management of nontraditional programs: to Educational Testing Service to establish uniform methods for assessing nonclassroom learning; to the National Association of College and University Business Officers for computerized models for planning and calculation of cost-effectiveness, and to Nova University for a comparative analysis of its graduate programs in education and public administration.

Minority Opportunities. Despite enrollment gains over the last decade, the four groups participating in the Foundation’s program for minorities—Blacks, Mexican Americans, Puerto Ricans, and Native Americans (American Indians and Alaskan Natives)—still are underrepresented in higher education. Since 1972 the Foundation has earmarked some three-fourths of its higher education funds to help these groups enroll in colleges and universities in greater numbers and improve the quality of the education they receive. The major component of this effort is a six-year, $100 million program to strengthen private, traditionally black colleges and universities and to provide graduate fellowships for minority group members.

In the institutional part of the program, additional grants were made this year to seven colleges* and to the six-member Atlanta University Center.** These institutions are developing systematic, long-range planning in order to grow stronger academically, attain financial stability, reduce student dropout rates, and send more students on to graduate and professional schools. They

* Benedict College (S.C.), Bishop College (Tex.), Fisk University (Tenn.), Hampton Institute (Va.), St. Augustine’s College (N.C.), Tuskegee Institute (Ala.), and Wilberforce University (Ohio).

** Clark, Morehouse, Spelman, and Morris Brown colleges; Atlanta University, and the Interdenominational Theological Center.
have strengthened their academic and management capabilities over the last three years. Nonetheless, like most private colleges and universities, they continue to face serious financial problems as a result of inflation and sharply increased fuel costs. In addition, their student bodies are generally in greater need of financial aid than those at other institutions.

With the program half completed, all the institutions have improved their operations. Bishop College, for example, has redesigned its accounting and reporting system. St. Augustine’s College and Hampton Institute are installing management information systems that eventually will be computerized, and Tuskegee Institute has developed a planning system that for the first time effectively integrates its vocational, technical, and professional units.

Several cooperative programs have been developed. Wilberforce and Hampton have joint engineering programs with the University of Dayton and George Washington University, respectively; Fisk has a program in management with Vanderbilt University, and the Atlanta members are sharing programs in several fields. The colleges also are providing more remedial programs to reduce the dropout rate, and Hampton has integrated personal, financial, and academic counseling for students.

Additional funds were provided to the Council of Southern Universities and the Educational Testing Service to continue a minority graduate fellowship program formerly administered by the Foundation. Since the effort began in 1967, awards have been made to 2,097 doctoral candidates, most of whom are seeking careers in higher education. The new grants will support some 1,000 Black, Mexican American, Native American, and Puerto Rican fellows in 1975–76.

On the undergraduate level, an Upper Division Scholarship Program provides partial support to minority graduates of two-year institutions who plan to enroll in degree programs in four-year colleges. A terminal grant of $1.8 million was made to the College Entrance Examination Board, which administers the program, for the final group of 836 students. Since the program began in 1970, some 3,000 students have been helped in working toward the bachelor’s degree.

Precise figures are not available on how many Upper Division fellows have been graduated, a fact that highlights a persistent problem in efforts to improve opportunities for minorities in higher education—a lack of reliable statistics on minority enrollment and retention. A new Institute for the Study of Educational Policy at Howard University received $400,000 this year to construct a statistical system for black enrollment. The institute will also conduct research on the educational status and needs of blacks, continuing barriers to equal...
opportunity, and the characteristics of black and white students seeking admission to college.

Management and Policy. In the early 1970s the growth rate of American higher education, which had risen continuously over the last several decades, began to level off. Over the next two decades college and university enrollments may no more than hold steady, and possibly decline, as costs continue to rise. The Foundation assists several efforts that help educational administrators and policy makers develop more effective management and planning tools to deal with the implications of these trends.

Interinstitutional cooperation is one response of higher education to a period of scarce resources, and three projects related to such efforts were assisted this year:

—an evaluation by a group at the University of California (Berkeley) of the effectiveness of consortia among colleges and universities;

—a national computer network, being developed by EDUCOM, the Interuniversity Communications Council, that will make sharing of computer facilities possible and cut down on duplication;

—an examination by the Association of American Colleges of the various associations serving private institutions, including an analysis of other options for representation at the national level.

Because trustees at many institutions are concerned about the effectiveness of their part in the governance of colleges and universities, the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges sought and was granted funds to develop a manual on self-evaluation by trustees.

An increasingly important factor in the way colleges and universities will be run in the future is the growth of faculty unionism. According to the National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education, nearly one-fourth of all full-time teachers, some 93,000 faculty members, are represented by unions. The Foundation granted $149,925 to help the center develop its computer retrieval system, which provides both labor and management with copies of all existing contracts and other information related to collective bargaining. As a growing number of state laws allow public university faculties to organize, collective bargaining is spreading to private institutions as well. The center, which is located at Baruch College of the City University of New York, provides information and technical assistance to both sides of the collective bargaining table.

Women in Higher Education. Efforts to provide equal employment for women have often floundered on the lack of hard data on the numbers of trained women available. The gap was largely filled this year with the
publication of a report by the Scientific Manpower Commission, prepared under a Foundation grant.* The study covers the natural and social sciences, engineering, the arts and humanities, and education. Among the findings is that while women made up 9.1 per cent of the medical school graduates in 1973, they comprised 20 per cent of the entering class in medical schools in 1974. The report also pointed out that less than 10 per cent of all academic administrators in higher education are women, and that while women earned 21.5 per cent of the doctorates in the biological sciences in 1973, only 12.5 per cent of the Ph.D.s working in bioscience were women.

Funds also went for the final phase of a three-year cycle of faculty and doctoral dissertation fellowships on the role of women in society. Through the Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation and a Foundation-managed project, 122 awards have been made; issues for study range from marital property law to new roles for women in religious ministries.

*Professional Women and Minorities: A Manpower Data Resource Service. The report will be updated periodically.
**Graduate Education.** From 1967 to 1974 the Foundation provided $41.5 million to ten major research universities* to improve the efficiency of graduate education. Some 5,000 students received fellowships in an effort to reduce the time needed to get a Ph.D. in the humanities and social sciences, and funds were provided to improve supervision by faculty advisors and promote more efficient admissions policies. This program was expected to deal with such problems as the inordinate length of time it takes to get a doctorate (eight years on the average), and with the fact that so many students fail to complete the degree. For a major evaluation of this program, funds were given this year to the Brookings Institution; the report is due in 1976.

**PUBLIC EDUCATION**

**Finance and Planning.** Court decisions in several states have established the right of all children to access to education of comparable quality. The Foundation supports a series of activities aimed at translating that principle into practice.

This year assistance to the Education Law Center, with offices in Newark, N.J., and Philadelphia, was renewed with a grant of $675,000. The center is a public interest law firm founded in 1973 to improve the quality of public education in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Courts in New Jersey have struck down the state's system of unequal financing among school districts and decreed a minimum educational standard for every student. In addition to school finance reform, the center has worked on such educational rights issues as parental access to student records and open school board meetings.

For work related to financial reform at the state level, grants were made in Michigan, New York, New Jersey, and California. In Michigan, for example, the Middle Cities Education Association plans to study the impact on urban schools of a reform plan adopted by the state legislature in 1972 (listed on page 59). The association, which represents thirteen school districts that enroll a total of 250,000 students, will analyze differences in student achievement and curriculum offerings, property and income tax rates, and personal income levels among the districts.

Urban systems pose a particular problem in reform efforts because of their declining tax bases and disadvantaged populations. Two citizens groups received grants for programs directed to this problem. The District of Columbia Citizens for Better Public Education is studying plans that would equalize expenditures among district schools, and New Detroit, Inc. received funds to develop new instructional, personnel, management, and financing

policies in the city's schools (listed on page 59). Composed of members of the city's business, labor, press, minority, and government communities, New Detroit earlier helped solve a school funding emergency and advised on restructuring the Board of Education's administrative and financial functions.

**Training Administrators and Policy Makers.** Since 1964 the Institute for Educational Leadership (IEL) at George Washington University has been helping educators and government officials learn more about major educational issues and the policy-making process. This year IEL received $1.7 million to continue its year-long internships in government agencies and national and state educational organizations; seminars for staff members of federal agencies and legislative committees concerned with education; similar programs for state officials, and a series of conferences and publications. Some 200 interns have served in more than seventy federal and state agencies and national associations since the program began. And in 1975 more than 2,000 federal employees participated in seminars and field discussions on educational issues.

The Council of Chief State School Officers received funds to prepare training programs for state education officials. Over the next eighteen months the council will help middle-level and top officials of state education agencies determine what they need to keep abreast of current issues and theories in education, and will develop training to help meet those needs.

Since 1970, the Foundation has assisted a cooperative effort by seven universities* to reform their graduate programs in educational administration and to train more minority and women administrators. The coordinating agency for this effort, the Consortium for Educational Leadership, received assistance this year through a $400,000 grant to the University of Chicago. The program was designed to meet a need for school administrators with up-to-date management and teaching skills and with strong community ties. Since the program began, 272 students have received their doctorates. Of the graduates, nearly half are black, and 28 per cent are female.

Many school administrators lack sufficient training in such fields as law, economics, and labor-management relations to deal with pressing issues in education today, including financial reform and collective bargaining. To help provide such training, the Foundation made grants to the University of Nebraska for a joint law and education program leading to the J.D. and Ph.D. degrees, and

---

*Atlanta University, Ohio State University, and the universities of Chicago, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania; Teachers College of Columbia University, and the Claremont Graduate School.
to Northwestern University to help establish a training and research center on labor relations in education.

**Staff Development.** The formal training that teachers receive in schools of education does not always equip them to develop new skills and respond satisfactorily to classroom conditions during their years on the job. To help teachers keep attuned to changing educational needs, centers for teacher improvement, which teachers attend voluntarily, are springing up around the country. Some of them have had Foundation support over the last six years.

The Foundation this year assisted two retraining programs incorporated directly into large-city schools. The Educational Confederation, a network of twenty-two public and private schools and Webster College, received $256,350 to help teachers in the St. Louis area develop new techniques to deal with diverse learning situations. For a similar effort in Chicago, funds were granted to the Illinois Department of Mental Health and the Hull House Association for an elementary and junior high school with a student mix of minorities and migrants from Appalachia.

To evaluate and disseminate information on various forms of teacher retraining, the Foundation this year provided $425,000. Foundation support has concentrated on three approaches: resource centers where teachers study new curricula and produce their own learning materials, consultants offering on-the-job training for a particular school or schools, and school-based advisors offering technical assistance to teachers. These and other approaches will be reviewed through studies, policy papers, and conferences.

**Leadership Development Program.** In the mid-1960s the Foundation began a program to help develop effective educational and community leaders in rural areas, among poverty-ridden minority groups in particular. Called the Leadership Development Program and initially administered directly by the Foundation, it is being taken over by independent organizations. To complete the transfer over the next three years, grants totaling $2.3 million were made this year to the Southern Regional Council, for the Southeast; the Center for Community Change, for the Southwest and Appalachia, and the National Indian Training and Research Center, for Native Americans.

Through 1975, fellowships were awarded to 536 men and women from thirty-four states and New Brunswick, Canada, including 161 blacks, 118 Mexican Americans, and fifty-three Native Americans. Rufus Hoffman, for example, a forty-year-old black sixth-grade science teacher in Alabama when chosen as a fellow in 1967, interned at New York University, in the Aspen, Colorado school system, and with the National Association for the
Advancement of Colored People in New York. He now is an educational field director for the NAACP in Alabama, working with a half million people in twelve counties. Carol Duffy, a twenty-three-year-old white teacher in Georgia when chosen as a fellow in 1971, interned at the universities of South Carolina, North Carolina, and Arkansas. She then received a master’s degree in social work and now counsels delinquent girls in Macon, Georgia. Carlos Atencia was a twenty-five-year-old high school math teacher in New Mexico when he became a fellow in 1967. He served his intern year in Albuquerque, at the University of California (Santa Barbara), in St. Paul, Minnesota, and in Washington, D.C., and now is assistant superintendent of schools in Cuba, New Mexico.

Research on Learning. Among the poorly charted areas in the search for a clearer understanding of how children learn is the effect of different cultural settings on classroom performance. Most of the work done to explain
differences in learning among minority groups and between minorities and others is the product of studies by whites. Rockefeller University's Laboratory of Comparative Human Cognition received $300,000 this year to train minority educators to use the tools of psychology, anthropology, and linguistics in studying how children from different ethnic, social, and cultural settings develop and apply such learning skills as language, memory, and abstract thinking.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology received funds for a new credit-granting unit—the Division for Study and Research in Education—that focuses on multidisciplinary teaching and research on how learning takes place in school and college settings. It is examining such matters as the relations between a student's intellectual and personal development and his or her ways of thinking and learning. It is also studying the effects of institutional organization on student learning.

**Research on Adolescence.** Knowledge about differences between adolescent learning styles in formal school settings and a variety of informal situations has been limited until recently. For an exploration of this area through research on the cognitive development of adolescents, a grant was made to Rockefeller University. Researchers over the next three years will study variations in age, ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds, and formal and informal learning settings, ranging from schools to street corners. In particular they will closely examine the development and use of memory techniques, which weigh heavily in school performance.

One of the most successful innovations in high schools in the last few years has been the Foxfire concept—the development of magazines that serve as learning tools for students. At the same time, student interviews and research in preparing the magazines preserve the vanishing folklore and customs of their region. Foxfire initially was produced in a school in rural Georgia. With the help of Foundation grants to Institutional Development and Economic Affairs Service (IDEAS), variations have spread to twenty-seven other schools throughout the country that serve primarily rural and minority communities. Under a 1975 grant, IDEAS will help state educational agencies and cultural organizations develop the concept on a statewide basis.

**School Desegregation.** Recent events, especially vehement protests in Boston and Louisville over court-ordered desegregation of the public schools, have made it clear that the problem is far from settled. Of the various branches of government, the courts are carrying most of the burden for ending school segregation. From time to time the Foundation has assisted academic efforts to provide all interested parties with a deeper background on the issues that the courts decide. New York University's Institute of Judicial Administration, for example, received funds for seminars for federal judges, lawyers, and desegregation experts, and Duke and Wayne State universities have been examining the ways in which social science studies have been used in court cases.

This year, the Foundation granted $200,000 to enable the University of Hartford to provide technical assistance to Northern school districts that are grappling with desegregation, either voluntarily or under court orders. The university's National Education Strategy Center will draw on its experience in the Northeast to help prepare teachers, administrators, municipal leaders, and state and federal personnel to integrate schools with a minimum of strife.

**Sex Discrimination.** As part of the Education Amendments of 1972, Congress passed Title IX, which prohibits sex discrimination in schools and colleges that receive federal aid. It was not until July 1975, however, that regulations were issued to implement the legislation.

Because the regulations are complex and have already aroused contention, the Foundation supported several agencies trying to help educators, policy makers, and the public understand the implications of Title IX: the Education Commission of the States, which provides information and other resources on federal and state legislation to state-level policy makers; the NOW Legal Defense and Education Fund, which monitors the progress of the regulations and helps citizens groups gain compliance in their school districts, and the Resource Center on Sex Roles in Education, an affiliate of the National Foundation for the Improvement of Education, which provides information on sex bias in the schools to teachers, schools, and federal, state, and local agencies.

Progress has been made in eliminating sex discrimination in education. Women's studies courses are offered at more than a third of the colleges and universities, for example, and texts and other materials breaking down the stereotypes that display women as nurses and secretaries and men as doctors and executives are available to many elementary schools. Since similar progress has been slower in secondary schools, the Feminist Press this year received $200,000 from the Foundation, as well as a grant from Carnegie Corporation, to produce supplementary reading materials for high school English and history classes. Pamphlets and paperbacks will discuss women in sports and the working world, the history and current status of women's legal rights, the history of the American family, and examples of sex bias in the English language.
Resources and the Environment

Most of the Foundation's current support in the environmental field is devoted to research on the public-policy implications of such issues as energy supply and demand, management of natural resources, and pollution control. Support also goes to programs that train environmental managers and to a small group of public interest law firms. In cooperation with the International Division, the Office of Resources and the Environment also assists efforts to strengthen the capabilities of developing countries to manage their environmental problems.

PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS

Resources for the Future (RFF), an independent research organization in Washington, D.C., remains the Foundation's principal grantee in the resource and environmental policy analysis field. This year, the Foundation granted RFF $12 million for four more years of general support. Among some forty research projects planned for that period are studies of public land management, including the advisability of leasing areas for oil, timber, and mineral development; the long-term relation between American agriculture and world food supply, and the environmental hazards of nuclear wastes.

With offshore drilling proposed for Southern California, many local governments and citizens groups question its possible environmental and economic consequences and are concerned about the lack of objective data on which to make policy decisions. To help supply some of the needed information, the Foundation granted funds to the Environmental Science and Engineering Program at the University of California (Los Angeles) for a year-long seminar to analyze the potential effects of oil production on the regional marine environment and to examine such social and economic trade-offs as the creation of jobs and revenue and the possible loss of tourism.

As the nation attempts to deal with the short-term energy problems caused by rapidly escalating oil prices, it is becoming increasingly clear that a transition from oil and gas to other fuels will be necessary within the foreseeable future. The Foundation made a grant this year to the Energy and Environmental Policy Center at Harvard University, where faculty and students from various fields (law, physics, and economics, for example) are analyzing options for this transition. Initial attention will be focused on the economics of the nuclear alternative and on an environmental comparison between coal and nuclear power.

Wasteful land-use practices, congestion in urban areas, and the loss of open space and natural areas have
stimulated interest in governmental regulations to guide land use and development. Because land-use planning and efforts to guide population growth were introduced in many countries earlier than in the United States, it is believed that much can be learned from foreign experiences. A grant to the Conservation Foundation this year provided support for its research on land policies in eight foreign countries. The funds will also underwrite the publication of a summary volume on such subjects as rural land conservation, coastal zone management, and control of land-price speculation.

It is now five years since the peak of the “environmental crisis” and the enactment of major pollution control legislation in the U.S. Given the experimental nature of much of that legislation and the appearance of energy problems on the scene in the meantime, it is likely that the next few years will see some reconsideration of programs and policies. In order to help provide a better information base for adjustments that may be made, the Foundation this year made a grant to the Environmental Law Institute to study some examples of pollution control policy in other nations.

**CONFLICT RESOLUTION**

**Mediation.** Developing a consensus on environmental issues and resolving conflicts over the environmental effects of proposed projects such as dams and highways have become major challenges in many communities and regions. Mediating such conflicts, a relatively new enterprise that draws upon methods developed in resolving labor and institutional conflicts, has been tried with some success in the state of Washington, where a flood control project planned by the Army Corps of Engineers was fought for fifteen years by farmers, environmentalists, and businessmen. A settlement worked out by the Environmental Mediation Project of Washington University in St. Louis has led the University of Washington in Seattle to establish an Office of Environmental Mediation to mediate disputes in the Pacific Northwest. The Foundation granted $120,000 to assist the office’s efforts to resolve conflicts over such questions as offshore oil drilling, strip mining, and timber cutting.

In an effort to develop a broadly based regional consensus on energy-environment issues in New England, representatives of the six states and various industries and public interests formed the New England Energy Policy Council, which in turn established the Center for Energy Policy as an independent source of information and analysis. With a grant this year to prepare background information, the center will focus on such questions as energy demand, residential energy...
conservation, the impact of offshore oil extraction, and conversion to coal as an alternative to fuel oil.

**Law.** Two public interest law firms practicing in the environmental field received grants in support of their litigation and negotiation efforts. The firms are the Southern California Center for Law in the Public Interest and the Environmental Defense Fund. The former specializes in such fields as transportation planning and nuclear safety, and the latter in management of water resources and land use. (For a discussion of other public interest law grants, see page 25.)

**INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS**

Although many developing nations assign low priority to the environmental consequences of rapid economic development, such as industrial and urban pollution, others are becoming more conscious that neglect of environmental damage can hamper economic growth. The Foundation provides technical and training assistance to enable developing countries to deal with their environmental problems. For example, a grant to the Arab Republic of Egypt in 1975 supported the training of graduate students and scientists in Egyptian and foreign universities to construct computer models of the desert ecosystem as a first step toward reclamation. As a means of dealing with some of the harmful side effects of the Aswan Dam in Egypt—such as Nile river erosion, increased soil salinity, and a decline in Mediterranean fisheries—a group of Egyptian and American scientists is constructing an elaborate computer model that will mimic the Nile’s ecosystem, including plant and animal life. Support for the project by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency was supplemented by a Foundation grant to Egypt’s National Academy of Scientific Research and Technology.

Funds also went to the University of the Philippines for an interdisciplinary graduate program in water resource management and, in Indonesia, to the government and the Bogor Agricultural Institute to establish a training and research program in the management of a major coastal land and water development project. Similarly, the Foundation this year allocated $340,000 for environmental research and training in the Mekong River Basin Development program, which is designed to create major reservoirs, irrigated agricultural lands, and urban and industrial centers in Indochina. In addition funds were granted to the Environmental Resource Management Research Unit of the Imperial College of Science and Technology in London, which trains large numbers of graduate students from the developing world, particularly in mathematical techniques of environmental analysis.
ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EQUALITY

THE SEVERELY DISADVANTAGED

Supported work studies and demonstrations
Advocac, Inc. (Fond du Lac, Wis.)
$44,500—1974
$22,250
Human Resource Development Foundation
$49,500—1974
21,905
Just Jobs, Inc. (Chicago)
$24,720
Lower Kensington Environmental Center
$41,800—1974
20,300
Manpower Demonstration Research Corp.
$1,600,000
1,442,500
North Carolina Manpower Development Corp.
$48,437—1974
24,244
Research and documentation of national supported work demonstration: Foundation managed project
130,000
108,597
St. Louis City of Office of Manpower Planning
$49,436—1974
24,719
Washington, State of (Department of Social and Health Services) $48,000—1974
49,000
Wider Opportunities for Women, Inc.
50,000

Explorations of special problems of the severely disadvantaged
Foundation-managed project $250,000—1974
40,894
71,602

Studies of relationships between health and nutrition and behavior
California, University of (Berkeley)
20,644
20,644
Columbia University
10,000
Manary Medical College
6,750
12,500
Mexican American Unity Council
5,500
5,500
Miami, University of
13,000
13,000

Investigations of alternative methods of socialization
Better Boys Foundation
20,000
20,000
Citizens' Committee on Children of New York City
5,000
5,000

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Economic development, social services, and technical assistance
Alaska Native Foundation $650,000—1974
300,000
American Indian Development Association $85,000—1974
75,000
American University (East Central Committee for Opportunity) $650,000—1974
485,576
Bedford-Stuyvesant Restoration Corporation $1,500,000—1974
850,000
Center for Community Change $1,100,000—1974
400,000
Chi Onda Por La Causa
125,000
125,000
Foundation-managed project: consultants
600,000
473,417
Greater Hartford Process
120,000
25,000
Harlem Commonwealth Council
50,000
8,334
Home Education Livelihood Program, Inc. (New Mexico) $330,000—1974
75,000
Indian Education Training, Inc. $250,000—1974
133,196
Mexican American Unity Council (San Antonio) $469,000—1974
225,000
Mississippi Action for Community Education $475,000—1974
67,500
257,500
Navajo Community College
150,000
(11,788)
Puerto Rican Institute for Social Research
50,000
25,000
South East Alabama Self-Help Association $700,000—1974
10,000
324,281
South East Community Organization (Baltimore)
150,000
150,000

National Affairs

In its continuing concern to advance opportunities for the poor, especially minorities, the National Affairs Division supports programs in community development, civil rights, housing management and neighborhood preservation, and efforts to ensure greater equity of access to government services, job opportunities, and legal representation.

Assistance also goes to projects aimed at resolving community and institutional conflicts; to efforts directed at improving the status of women; to projects dealing with the health, safety, and quality of working life, and to public interest law firms, which, through litigation, negotiation, and monitoring of government agencies, represent groups that might otherwise go unrepresented.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EQUALITY

The Severely Disadvantaged. As an extension of its civil rights and community development work, the division is supporting some experiments and studies focused on the most severely disadvantaged segments of American society. Although small in numbers, such families and individuals are having a disproportionate impact upon society. Concentrated in urban slums, they are caught up in a cycle of chronic unemployment, welfare dependency, drug and alcohol addiction, and violent crime. Public and private social programs of the past decade have failed to make much of a dent in their poverty, dependency, and antisocial tendencies. In the belief that the workplace can be used as a means of helping reduce the numbers of the severely disadvantaged, the Foundation supports a national demonstration of supported work in thirteen communities.* The emphasis is on low-stress work situations, relatively simple but psychologically rewarding tasks, and the development of self-confidence and good working habits to prepare for regular employment. At the end of the experiments it is expected that a sizable proportion of the workers will have found permanent jobs and, generally, entered the social mainstream. From 100 to 500 individuals will participate in each experiment, most of them in such jobs as housing maintenance, security services, or paraprofessional assistance in health and day-care agencies. Major funding comes from federal agencies and supplementary aid from state and local sources. The Foundation's share of the program includes $1.6 million

*Atlanta: Chicago; Hartford, Conn.; Jersey City, N.J.; Massachusetts (Boston and Springfield); Newark, N.J.; Oakland, Calif.; Philadelphia; St. Louis; San Francisco; Washington (Puget Sound area); West Virginia (five northwest counties), and Wisconsin (Fond du Lac and Winnebago counties).
for the Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation, which is supervising, documenting, and evaluating the experiments.

In connection with the supported-work program in St. Louis, which is centered in high-density housing projects populated largely by dependent families headed by women, the Foundation made a supplementary grant this year to the Tenant Affairs Board, which has established four tenant management corporations that now operate 2,600 units of public housing. The supported-work experiment conducted in these public housing sites consists of training unemployed residents as visiting homemakers, security guards, day-care workers, and laboratory technician aides, and in such work as food preparation and elevator repair. The grant covers social service and youth directors and training and technical assistance for tenant management corporations. The combination of training, supported-work opportunities, and social services, aimed at helping welfare families build a sense of community and break the cycle of dependency, represents a major test of the Foundation’s program to assist the severely disadvantaged.

Funds also went to Wider Opportunities for Women, in Washington, D.C., to evaluate a supported-work alternative to prison for women offenders.

Because the severely disadvantaged tend to suffer from inadequate nutrition and medical care, the Foundation has allocated funds to explore possible links between faulty diet and early impairment of health on the one hand, and subsequent school failure, antisocial behavior, and even violent crime on the other. These explorations into health and behavior grew out of a concern about the apparent lack of success of attempts to mitigate social pathology primarily by psycho-social therapies. Public policy and planning do not yet reflect the fact that health and nutrition influence neurological function, which in turn influences behavior. Studies were supported with grants to the universities of California (Berkeley) and Miami, Columbia, and Yale universities, Meharry Medical College, and the Mexican American Unity Council.

Community Development. The Foundation continued long-term assistance to selected community development corporations (CDCs) that serve predominantly black or mainly Mexican American populations in urban and rural areas. Complementing much larger governmental funding, Foundation grants cover administrative expenses of running the organizations and specialized managerial, business, and real estate services for the groups’ large-scale economic development programs. The Foundation supports eleven CDCs, most of which
A blue jeans factory (called Fine Vines) provides job opportunities to residents of the Mississippi Delta region. It is one of several new industries and services introduced by Mississippi Action for Community Education, a Foundation-supported rural community development corporation. Grants received two-year funding last year. The CDCs receiving grants this year were:

—Chicanos Por La Causa (CPLC), a Mexican American organization in Phoenix which conducts programs in education, health, and economic development. CPLC has packaged loans and provided technical assistance in management for small businesses. It also offers counseling to low-income homeowners and supervises bilingual training for Mexican American graduate students working in the Phoenix school system.

—Home Education Livelihod Program (HELP), the only private organization serving rural low-income Chicano and Indian communities throughout New Mexico. HELP's programs include child care and services for the elderly.

—Mississippi Action for Community Education (MACE), serving rural blacks in eleven Delta counties. MACE has helped build factories, provided 350 jobs for local residents, developed low-cost housing, and given technical assistance to local businessmen and farmers.
Program-related investments, in which a portion of the Foundation’s capital assets is invested directly for social purposes, included a loan of $325,000 to help the Spanish Speaking Unity Council, a Mexican American CDC in Oakland, build a community resource center. It will contain the organization’s headquarters and provide office space for other nonprofit community service groups. Federal funding will cover the major costs.

Although the main focus of the Foundation’s community development program has been on organizations serving poor black and Hispanic populations, a CDC providing similar services to predominantly white ethnic, working-class neighborhoods in Baltimore has also been supported for the past three years. Again this year funds went to the South East Community Organization for planning and administration of housing, health, and neighborhood assistance projects.

Funds for technical assistance to a variety of other groups serving minorities were provided. Among the recipients of renewed support were:
— the Southern Development Foundation, a coordinating agency providing technical assistance and training to farming cooperatives in the rural South;
— the American Indian Development Association, which provides information and technical assistance to Indian groups, particularly in methods of securing federal and private resources for community development programs.

In New York, funds were granted to the Harlem Commonwealth Council in support of commercial real estate development, and for the establishment of a new organization, the Puerto Rican Institute for Social Research. The institute will provide research, policy planning, and technical assistance to public and voluntary agencies serving Puerto Ricans in the metropolitan area.

In the belief that strong community-based financial institutions are vital to arrest neighborhood deterioration and improve the economic status of disadvantaged minorities, the Foundation this year directed three program-related investments totaling $3.5 million to two banks and a company that finances community development corporations and minority entrepreneurs. A $1 million investment—half in preferred stock, the other half in interest-bearing notes—was made in the Citizens Trust Bank in Atlanta, one of the largest and oldest (in operation for over fifty years) black-owned financial institutions in the United States. The Foundation’s investment is being matched by a consortium of local institutions for a total financing of $3 million. A ten-year, $1 million capital investment was made in the Freedom National Bank of New York, with offices in the black communities of Harlem and
A client and lawyer discuss a case at the offices of the Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund, one of several organizations supported by the Foundation that have established important legal precedents in protecting the civil rights of minorities. The fund, for example, won a consent decree from the New York City Board of Education to provide bilingual education for Spanish-speaking students and later was awarded attorneys’ fees by the court for its work in the case.

**League of Women Voters Education Fund** 13,100

**National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing** ($491,000—1974) 468,750

**New York Neighborhood** 154,000

**New York State Urban Development Corporation** ($200,000—1974) 49,500

**Nineveh Ward Community Association** ($100,000—1974) 50,000

**Centenary Housing Development Fund** ($28,180—1974) 13,000

**San Francisco Housing Development** ($54,000—1974) 3,400

**Seattle Housing Development Fund** ($100,000—1974) 3,400

**Suburban Action Institute** ($50,000—1974) 31,175

**United Neighborhood Houses of New York** ($102,000—1974) 3,400

**Westchester Residential Opportunities** ($85,000—1974) 45,000

**West Mt. Airy Neighborhood (Philadelphia)** 9,000

**Housing management and tenant services**

---

| Advisory Services for Better Housing, Inc. | 175,000 |
| Greater Boston Community Development | 49,300 |
| Henry Street Settlement ($60,000—1973) | 18,500 |
| Housing Development Corporation of the City of New York ($6,500—1974) | 15,000 |
| Massachusetts Union of Public Housing Tenants | 7,200 |
| National Center for Housing Management | 19,300 |
| Organization for Applied Sciences in Society (Michigan) ($130,000—1974) | 75,200 |
| Philadelphia Council for Community Advancement ($130,000—1974) | 16,375 |
| REMCA, Inc. (North Carolina) | 68,965 |
| Tenant Affairs Board (St. Louis) | 108,250 |

Bedford-Stuyvesant. PEDCO, Inc., a minority-owned financial intermediary that promotes minority enterprise throughout the country, received a ten-year loan of $1.5 million to expand its lending activities.

**Civil Rights Advocacy and Legal Defense.** Despite substantial progress made by individual minority group members, considerable inequalities remain. The Foundation therefore maintains a strong commitment to support civil rights, legal defense, and related organizations that can exert leverage on government and the private sector to respect rights and devise more equitable access to the nation's resources.

In addition to ongoing support from previous grants to such national civil rights centers as the NAACP Special Contribution Fund, the National Urban League, and the Puerto Rican Research and Resources Center, the Foundation this year gave supplementary funds to the Metropolitan Applied Research Center (MARC) in New York and the National Council of Negro Women and the National Council of La Raza, both in Washington, D.C. The grant to MARC supports research, training, and technical assistance for minority groups, especially urban blacks and Puerto Ricans. The women's group will use its grant to expand programs in various parts of the country aimed at improving low-income housing, employment counseling, health
care, and rural development. The National Council of La Raza will continue to monitor government programs serving Mexican Americans, disseminate information, and serve as a national advocate of Chicano needs.

During the past decade the Foundation has granted some $16 million to legal defense organizations representing Blacks, Mexican Americans, Puerto Ricans, and Native Americans. They have been successful in a wide variety of cases, many of which have established important legal precedents in combating discrimination in education, jobs, housing, public services, jury selection, voting, and the administration of justice. This year the Foundation renewed support for the Native American Rights Fund (NARF) with a grant of $800,000. Established in 1971 with Foundation assistance to represent Indian interests in the courts, NARF litigates cases to reaffirm treaty rights, regain control of tribal land and water resources that have been bargained away or appropriated by public and private interests, and prevent further encroachment on these resources. Among its successful cases have been a suit against the Secretary of the Interior to halt diversions of excessive water from Pyramid Lake in Nevada, which the Paiute Indians fish for a living, and assistance to Alaskan native residents of the North Slope in securing the right to organize a local unit of state government with the power to tax oil operations in that area.

**Intergroup Relations and Community Conflict Resolution.** The Center for National Policy Review at Catholic University, which received renewed support for its civil rights and race relations research, was established in 1970 as a resource for groups pressing for federal enforcement of civil rights in housing, education, employment, and revenue sharing. Among its projects have been implementation of federal equal housing regulations and analysis of the charge that the government has failed to resolve Northern school segregation.

Research and action projects dealing with the influence of ethnic group identity in the practical workings of American pluralism received supplementary assistance. Grants went to an American Jewish Committee institute to extend neighborhood stabilization services to the Coney Island community in New York; to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for continued analysis by Harold R. Isaacs of the political and institutional impact of new pressures being exerted by racial and ethnic groups; to the National Opinion Research Center for research on the nature and role of ethnicity in American life, and to the Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs for research and technical and financial assistance to local organizations representing white, working-class communities.
For several years the Foundation has supported a growing movement to use disinterested third parties to help resolve disputes between such groups as housing authorities and tenants, prison officials and inmates, and students and college administrators. Although most of these efforts have been privately supported, the State of New Jersey Department of the Public Advocate has established a new Office of Dispute Settlement, for which the Foundation this year granted $100,000. The office will mediate disputes between environmentalists and industries, controversies over housing redevelopment and relocation, and consumer cases. In addition the Foundation made a grant to the Center for Correctional Justice to recruit and train citizen volunteers in several states to work with correctional staff and inmates in designing remedies for prisoners’ grievances.

The Quality of Work. In recent years social scientists and industrial and union leaders have been exploring ways to reduce harmful physical and psychological effects due to technology and the organization of the workplace. This year grants totaling $834,300 were made for studies of labor-management cooperation in work redesign and other changes. The Quality of Work Program of the University of Michigan was assisted for a second year of redesign demonstrations in workplaces ranging from mines and factories to schools and hospitals. The experiments include the organization of autonomous work units with full responsibility for production and the introduction of labor-management committees. A similar center at the Institute of Industrial Relations at the University of California (Los Angeles) received funds for work-restructuring experiments and for advising labor and management representatives on demonstration projects. To serve as a clearinghouse for such research and experimentation, the Work in America Institute was established this year with federal, corporate, and Foundation funding. A grant to Loughborough University of Technology in England helped establish an International Council on the Quality of Working Life, which is similarly concerned with various ways of humanizing work.

Status of Women. The National Affairs Division’s support for efforts to expand and protect the rights and opportunities of women concentrates on low-income and minority women, although assistance is also directed to activities aimed at improving the status of all women. For example, the Women’s Law Project was granted $60,000 for research and publications on problems encountered by states that have passed equal rights amendments and for the drafting of model codes covering areas of law affected by such amendments.
Funds also went to the Travelers Aid International Social Service of America for a meeting of non-governmental organizations held concurrently with the United Nations International Women’s Year Conference in Mexico City. Also supported were an American Assembly conference on women in the American economy and research by The Conference Board on affirmative action related to working women. An analysis of legal abortion services and the effects, especially on poor women, of the denial of such services because of opposition by some community or hospital leaders was undertaken by the Alan Guttmacher Institute through a grant to Planned Parenthood Federation of America. The Foundation renewed support for the Day Care and Child Development Council of America, which provides technical assistance to child-care groups, and for the National Committee on Household Employment for research, and monitoring of the extension of minimum wage coverage to household workers.

HOUSING

The main objectives of the Foundation’s housing efforts are maintaining the stability of racially mixed neighborhoods, improving the management of government-assisted housing, and revitalizing older neighborhoods.

Support for interracial neighborhood stabilization included a grant to National Neighbors for preparation of a reference directory of programs conducted by neighborhood associations, additional regional staffing, production of a slide-tape on monitoring illegal or undesirable real estate practices, and a national audit of real estate firms specializing in relocating transferred employees to determine whether federal open-housing laws are being complied with. Other assistance was given to United Neighborhood Houses of New York for social services intended to maintain stability in Forest Hills, New York, a community recently divided over a proposed high-rise public housing project; to Housing Opportunities Made Equal, in Richmond, Virginia, to help organize an experimental mortgage program for interracial neighborhoods in which interest rates and monthly payments are geared to changes in family income, and to the Nineteenth Ward Community Association, a racially and ethnically diverse neighborhood in Rochester, New York, for a community education program aimed at stemming the drop-off in white enrollment in the ward’s high schools.

Tenant involvement in the management, maintenance, and provision of social services in public housing is gaining favor in many parts of the country. This year funds went to the Massachusetts Union of Public Housing Tenants for technical assistance to tenant councils in forty-five localities. The councils run programs in educa-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM-RELATED INVESTMENTS</th>
<th>Approvals</th>
<th>Invested or Guaranteed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| RDC Commercial Center  
(New York, N.Y.)—1972 | 3,400,000 | 3,400,000 |
| Development of multipurpose commercial center in Bedford-Stuyvesant section of Brooklyn Guaranteed |
| Pride Gardens, Ltd. (Mississippi Action for Community Education)  
(Greenville, Miss.)—1974 | 1,009,620 | 667,125 |
| Low-income housing and community recreation facilities  
Two-year loan participation, 8% % |
| Southeast Development, Inc. (South East Community Organization)  
(Belmontmore, Md.)—1975 | 1,035,500 | 1,035,500 |
| Experimental land banking and neighborhood revitalization program  
Four-year loan, 8% |
| Spanish Speaking Unity Council  
(Oakland, Calif.)—1975 | 325,000 | 194,832 |
| Construction of new office facilities  
Eight-year loan, 8% % |
| Zion Investment Associates  
(Philadelphia, Pa.)—1968 | 418,267 | 418,267 |
| Development of community-owned businesses  
Preferred stock |
| Woodlawn Community Development Corporation  
(Chicago, Ill.)—1975 | 1,044,000 | 1,044,000 |
| Real estate development and neighborhood revitalization program  
Five-year loan, 8% |
| **EDUCATION** |
| Center for Understanding Media  
(New York, N.Y.)—1973 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Traveling children's film theater to promote media literacy  
Two-year loan, 7% |
| Shaw University  
(Raleigh, N.C.)—1974 | 487,500 | 487,500 |
| Debt repayment and management improvements  
Nine-year loan, 5% |
| The Growing Mind  
(Berkeley, Calif.)—1974 | 69,000 | 69,000 |
| Land purchase for residence center for neurologically handicapped children  
Five-year loan, 5% |
| **MINORITY ENTERPRISES** |
| All Pro Enterprises, Inc.  
(Pittsburgh, Pa.)—1969 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Biracially owned food franchise business  
Common stock |
| Cheetah Charter Bus Service  
(New York, N.Y.)—1970 | 160,000 | 145,000 |
| Minority-owned charter bus company  
Ten-year loan, 6% |
| First Harlem Securities Corp.  
(New York, N.Y.)—1971 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Minority-owned securities brokerage firm  
Preferred stock |
| The Third Press  
(New York, N.Y.)—1971-1974 | 325,000 | 325,000 |
| Minority-owned book publishing company  
Ten-year loan, 4% |
| Trans-Bay Engineers & Builders  
(Oakland, Calif.)—1970-1972-1973 | 999,068 | 999,068 |
| Consortium of minority-owned construction firms  
Eight-year loan, 4% |

tion, employment, and social and legal services. Other approaches to improving the operation of low- and moderate-income housing supported this year were a training program for managers of multifamily developments, run by the National Center for Housing Management, and the management of subsidized housing in North Carolina by REMCA, a nonprofit management corporation that handles such immediate problems as collecting back rents and making repairs while working out longer-term solutions with tenants, owners, and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Among the efforts to stem the decline of older housing stock in urban neighborhoods were grants to the Lutheran Medical Center in Brooklyn, New York, to enable a community redevelopment committee to continue the rescue of abandoned housing in Sunset Park, a multi-ethnic neighborhood, and to the San Francisco Development Fund for preservation of moderate-income neighborhoods through private lending for home improvements. The Foundation also provided partial support to Advisory Services for Better Housing for a comprehensive diagnostic and treatment program for distressed HUD-assisted, multifamily projects in metropolitan New York. Foundation funds will supplement commitments from HUD, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, and a private bank.

**ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**

**Corrections.** Improvements in American criminal justice, especially the corrections system, are essential to ensure equal protection of the law for all citizens. Support continued this year for the American Bar Association's Commission on Correctional Facilities and Services, which works with bar associations and correction agencies for reforms in the penal system, and the Correctional Information Service, which publishes the magazine *Corrections*, dealing with prison reform programs. For combined research, litigation, and cooperation with government and community agencies to ensure that prison conditions and practices reflect legislative policy, funds were granted to the National Prison Project of the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation. The program is working on due process in parole proceedings, challenging the use of indeterminate sentencing of mentally retarded delinquents, and testing the legality of behavior modification programs in prisons.

**Legal Services.** Although beset by financial uncertainties, public interest law has gained a foothold in the American legal system with successful representation of consumers, environmentalists, and other groups that might otherwise go unrepresented. After a conference in 1974, the Council for the Advancement of Public Interest
Law was established, funded by the Foundation and other private agencies, to explore possible new financing mechanisms. These include direct support from the organized bar and court- or agency-awarded fees.

At the same time, the Foundation renewed support for four public interest law firms:

—For Responsive Media: Citizens Communications Center, for work in mass communications, with special emphasis on the Federal Communications Commission’s regulatory policies and practices;

—Georgetown University’s Institute for Public Interest Representation, which tries to ensure that federal administrative regulations reflect legislative intent in such areas as consumer concerns and freedom of information;

—the League of Women Voters Education Fund, which works on voting rights and election laws;

—Public Advocates, a California firm that specializes in employment discrimination, education, and consumer protection and is also exploring activities in women’s and children’s rights and occupational health and safety. (Grants to environmental public interest law firms are discussed on page 16.)

For several years students and faculty from Vanderbilt University and the University of Tennessee have been helping impoverished groups in eastern Tennessee, a rural area that has suffered from the effects of strip mining. In 1973 Vanderbilt used Foundation funds to create the East Tennessee Research Corporation, which provides legal assistance in health care, economic development, and environmental protection. This year a grant was made to enable the corporation to continue the work.

Two centers that assist local groups providing legal services to the poor received funds for litigation, technical assistance, and information: The National Housing Law Project of the Earl Warren Legal Institute at the University of California (Berkeley), and the Center on Social Welfare Law and Policy.

With the increase of groups offering legal insurance plans, the need for information, research, and advice on the best methods of running such programs is also rising. A grant went to the newly established Resource Center for Consumers of Legal Services in Washington, D.C. to offer such assistance nationwide.

The Police Foundation. Established in 1970 and backed by a $30 million commitment from the Ford Foundation, the Police Foundation assists local police departments in upgrading their performance. It has chalked up a strong record of research and experimentation in such fields as the use of civilians as police planning specialists and the evaluation of policewomen on street patrols. This year it sponsored a conference on police productivity, which brought together police chiefs, labor
experts, and systems analysts. The foundation also jointly sponsored with the Federal Bureau of Investigation a police managerial training program.

GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE

Governments at all levels are increasingly burdened by fiscal troubles, including inflation and the rising costs of labor, as well as by rising public dissatisfaction with public procedures and programs. Accordingly, the Foundation continued support for efforts to make delivery of public services more efficient and equitable, enhance the organization and working conditions of state legislatures, and improve the productivity of government personnel.

Residential areas inhabited by the poor and minorities are often shortchanged in the delivery of such public services as police and fire protection, street paving, water supply, and sewers. Two grants were made this year for efforts to redress these inequities. The Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law received funds to set up a Government Services Equalization Center, which will promote research on discrimination in the delivery of services and provide technical assistance and legal support to groups trying to resolve individual cases. Catholic University was granted funds to enable the Center for National Policy Review to monitor the federal administration of certain municipal aid programs.

Since 1973 the Foundation has made a series of grants to study ways of improving the productivity of state and local government personnel. As this program draws to a conclusion, a grant was made to the Committee for Economic Development to help plan a comprehensive analysis of productivity in state civil service organization, management of the bureaucracy, and federal policies for streamlining local governments. Continued support went to Columbia University’s Conservation of Human Resources Center, which has been devising improved methods of budgeting programs in health and social services, education, and welfare in large cities. The supplement will help develop improved performance measures for programs in New York City.

After fifteen years in the field of legislative improvement, a commitment that has totaled some $11 million in grants, the Foundation this year began winding down its assistance. It made a final grant to the State Legislative Leaders Foundation to complete a three-year nonpartisan effort to improve the operation of the legislatures of six states—Arizona, Colorado, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, and New Hampshire.

Among the changes implemented by the legislatures have been a system of deadlines for introducing and acting upon bills and more comprehensive reports on committee actions, including the names of witnesses at hearings and the interests they represented.
Communications

The Foundation's efforts in the field of communications are focused primarily on clarifying policy issues, advancing competence in journalism, and improving the system of public broadcasting in the United States. The latter objective, foremost in the Foundation's communications priorities since the early 1950s, is now encompassed in a four-year $40 million terminal program scheduled to be completed in 1976. The main element is support of a new means of producing and distributing programs—the Station Program Cooperative.

PUBLIC BROADCASTING
Feasibility studies of the use of communications satellites to transmit public television programs were supported this year. Along with the Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB) and the Public Broadcasting Service (PBS), the Foundation entered complex negotiations with sources of investment capital and companies that could provide satellite service.

A satellite system would be more effective for public broadcasting than the terrestrial "long-line" system now in use. It would provide greater autonomy for local stations, programming flexibility, and greater reliability in coverage. For example, in a special news analysis following a Presidential speech, the producer might wish to put on camera experts from Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles, and Berkeley as well as those in Washington. In the present system, the necessary video lines would cost about $15,000 extra, but with a satellite system only $2,000. Use of satellites also would permit stations to broadcast more than one program at a time, eliminating the need to preempt regular scheduling for special events, and making it possible to broadcast programs for special audiences (Spanish-language programs, for example) during prime-time hours. A proposal by the CPB, PBS, and the Foundation to establish a system is scheduled to be brought before the Federal Communications Commission in 1976. The Foundation hopes to assist in the effort in the form of a loan.

The Foundation's interest in benefits to public broadcasting from satellite transmission dates back to 1966, when it filed comments with the FCC on a proposal for reduced-rate distribution. That proposal and later related
ones were not implemented for a variety of reasons, but it seems likely that the arrangement currently being proposed is viable and will be accepted by the FCC.

The Station Program Cooperative, established in 1974 as a means of financing national programming with local station dollars, received continued support this year under the Foundation's final $40 million allocation for public broadcasting. Functioning like an auction, the cooperative received funding from stations to produce such shows as "Woman," "Firing Line," and "Wall Street Week."

Although the Foundation's main support for programming now consists of its contribution to the cooperative, it does from time to time support special efforts like "Visions," the New American Television Drama Project. Set up in 1974 with grants from the National Endowment for the Arts, CPB, and the Foundation, "Visions" seeks to breathe new life into American television drama by encouraging new writers and directors to fashion a style of drama best suited to television. During its first three years, "Visions" will produce a total of thirty-five plays, the first of which is scheduled to be aired in March 1976. Some are being produced by a special unit at KCET—Los Angeles, the others by resident theaters, free-lance producers, and other public television stations.

The Foundation and the Crocker National Bank jointly lent $1.8 million to KQED—San Francisco this year to help finance construction costs of a new broadcast facility. The total debt will be repaid over five years from the proceeds of a capital fund drive. Since 1970 the Foundation has made program-related investments totaling $4.4 million for public television stations in New York, Washington, D.C., Los Angeles, and Detroit.

COMMUNICATIONS POLICY

Through conferences and publications, the Aspen Institute Program on Communications and Society seeks to shed light on issues in public broadcasting, government-media relations, television and social behavior, and humanistic uses of new communications technology. The Aspen program, for which the Foundation this year granted $175,000, also is becoming increasingly concerned with the process of shaping communications policy. Since so much policy flows from federal decisions, the program this year established an office in Washington, D.C.

Studies of the impact of news media on political campaigns were supported under grants to Duke University and the California Center for Research and Education in Government. The Duke research focuses on the Presidential selection process, from the primaries through the national conventions. The California center will publish research it conducted on the 1974 California gubernatorial race.

Since television is the principal news channel for most Americans, and the state of New Jersey has no television frequency of its own, New Jersey citizens lack sufficient information on which to make informed political judgments on state affairs. The Coalition for Fair Broadcasting, formed to investigate ways to gain more adequate television coverage for New Jersey, received a $20,000 matching grant for economic and engineering studies of ways to provide the state with its own VHF (very high frequency) channel.

JOURNALISM

Continuing a series begun last year, the Foundation supported conferences in which journalists, judges, and lawyers exchanged views on such issues as preservation of the First Amendment and an individual's right to privacy. Meetings were co-sponsored by the Foundation and the Washington Post, the Chicago Tribune, and the Association of American Publishers.

Most reporters are generalists and lack the needed time and background to go much beneath the surface of complex stories. In an effort to broaden the knowledge of journalists in specialized fields, the Foundation this year began a series of fellowships to enable reporters to spend up to six months away from their regular tasks to investigate a subject in depth. The first group is studying current unemployment in the United States from the perspectives of various parties—government policy makers, union officials, factory managers, and the jobless themselves. Five journalists from print and broadcast media were nominated by their employers, who bear half the costs of the program. A future group will investigate school financing.

Although the Foundation has reduced its support of training and employment programs for minority journalists, grants were made this year to expand the base of contributions to such efforts. Grants totaling $60,000 were made to four graduate schools of journalism (the University of Missouri and Columbia, Northwestern, and Stanford universities) to help establish minority scholarship funds. Grants from corporations and news media, as well as funds provided by the universities, will supplement the Foundation's contribution.

Support was renewed for Vanderbilt University's Television News Archive, which provides the only permanent record of the national news programs upon which millions of Americans rely for information. The archive videotapes and indexes network news broadcasts and makes them available to libraries and other institutions for scholarly or journalistic research.
The Arts

Under a reorganization this year, the Division of Humanities and the Arts became the Office of the Arts. Responsibility for any future Foundation activity in the humanities was transferred to the Division of Education and Research.

FINANCING AND MANAGEMENT

Nothing has occurred since a major economic survey of the arts was published by the Foundation in 1974* to alter its grim financial forecasts for performing arts organizations in the United States. Yet with reduced budgets, the Office of the Arts can no longer provide general support to such organizations. The office now concentrates on programs and research designed to provide such groups with techniques and tools for sound financial management.

Among these is a cash reserve program, now in its fifth year, that is designed to help professional theater, dance, and opera companies stabilize their financial position. Grants made under the cash reserve program have two features. First, funds are provided to liquidate 50 per cent of an artistic group’s net current liabilities, but only after the company—often with accounting and budgeting assistance provided by the Foundation—has liquidated the other half within a prescribed period of time. Second, the program establishes a revolving fund from which withdrawals may be made to meet ongoing expenses, provided the withdrawals are repaid with income from box office receipts or contributions before the end of the fiscal year. If a company fulfills both requirements, the Foundation adds to its revolving fund for four consecutive years, by which time the organization will have eliminated its deficit, accumulated a fund sufficient to meet cash flow needs, and become accustomed to balancing its budget each year.

Forty-eight companies have thus far received grants totaling $22.1 million, and the Foundation expects to include additional organizations in the next few years.

In another effort to help improve financial management in the arts, $500,000 was set aside for research and for provision of consulting services to arts organizations. A consultant in accounting and budgeting, for example, helps Foundation grantees to organize their financial data systems and to establish budget controls. An expert in subscription promotion has assisted more

*Volume I, "A Survey of 166 Professional Nonprofit Resident Theaters, Operas, Symphonies, Ballets, and Modern Dance Companies," and Volume II, "A Survey of the Characteristics and Attitudes of Audiences for Theater, Opera, Symphony, and Ballet in Twelve U.S. Cities," are available at $10.00 each ($9.00 each for ten or more copies) from the Foundation, P.O. Box 1919, New York, N.Y. 10001.
than eighty artistic groups in substantially increasing subscription revenue. For example, in two years the Oregon Symphony Orchestra increased its subscribers from 4,700 to 21,000.

On the research side, a project begun during the year constitutes a first attempt to provide an objective method of determining optimum ticket prices. Production costs are rising, but there is no firm base of knowledge about how much ticket prices can be increased without losing a substantial part of the audience or without driving away all but the audience’s most affluent members. A study of actual and potential audiences will be made as a first step in developing a market research technique for determining ticket prices. If this and later steps are successful, the technique will be made available to performing arts organizations generally.

Government support for the arts, which has grown considerably in the last six years, is a crucial factor in the overall effort to put the arts on a firm financial footing. For an examination of public policies in this area, the Foundation granted funds to Johns Hopkins University. Milton C. Cummings, Jr., professor of political science, will review government arts policy with particular attention to the activities of the National Endowment for the Arts, the major federal grant-making agency. He will also examine tax legislation, public aid for construction of performing arts centers, and other government policies that help or hinder the performing artist.

MUSIC
To help make serious music by living American composers more widely available through recordings and printed scores, the Foundation renewed its recording-and-publication program with an allocation of $400,000. The Foundation contracts with record companies (working in collaboration with music publishers) to provide up to $15,000 per record for the cost of musicians during recording time. The record companies, in turn, pay all technical, production, advertising, and distribution costs of the recordings, and the publishers bear costs of printing and marketing the scores of the recorded works. The record companies and publishers select the composers, works to be performed, and artists before submitting contract forms to the Foundation.

The first round of contracts, made between 1970 and 1972, resulted in the recording of 216 works by 123 composers. Participating record companies and publishers contributed an estimated $1 million.

In another action to make music of high artistic merit more widely available, the Foundation granted $78,400 to the Free Library of Philadelphia to prepare the publication of Volume III of the catalog of the world-famous
Edwin A. Fleisher Collection of Orchestral Music. The largest and most comprehensive of its kind, the collection contains the scores and players’ parts of more than 12,000 works of old and new masters. The library makes the published and manuscript music available (after obtaining written permission on copyrighted works) for a small handling charge to orchestras or individuals on request.

THEATER
Cash reserve grants now assist eighteen resident professional theaters around the country. Recipients in 1975 were Circle in the Square and The Acting Company, both in New York City, and the American Shakespeare Theatre in Connecticut.

Since it can no longer provide long-term support, the Foundation has been making substantial terminal grants to assist a few principal performing organizations in cultivating other sources of assistance. This year La Mama Experimental Theatre Club in New York City, for example, received a final matching grant of $300,000 to help support its workshops and performing companies over the next four years. Foundation support, begun in 1967, now totals more than $1 million. La Mama has provided a testing ground for scores of new plays and produced some 500 plays in the U.S. and abroad.

The Office of the Arts joined with the Office of Program-Related Investments* in a $750,000 loan to Baltimore’s Center Stage, whose theater was swept by fire in 1974. The Foundation’s loan, combined with a grant from the National Endowment and loans from the city of Baltimore, five Baltimore banks, and the Society of Jesus, will help convert an unused parochial school into a 500-seat theater and offices.

DANCE
No new dance companies were added during the year to the eight already participating in the cash reserve program, but the Foundation plans in the next few years to include additional companies and to make grants with partial cash reserve components to dance groups.

The Dance Notation Bureau received renewed support to expand its service of preserving dances by recording them with a system of printed symbols. Traditionally the works of choreographers have been passed down by memory, but today notation is gaining widespread acceptance and support. The recent introduction of an element for typing notation symbols will greatly simplify the process, which heretofore has been painstakingly done by hand.

*Program-related investments are capital funds directly invested for social purposes.
ARTS AND EDUCATION

Zoltan Kodaly, a renowned Hungarian composer and music educator, developed a method of teaching music to children that has revolutionized instruction in Hungary and is gaining momentum in the United States. Rather than begin instruction with the complex language of notes, time signatures, and clefs, the Kodaly method leads children gradually from singing games to distinguishing between tones, to reading and writing simple musical notation. Since 1969 the Foundation has supported expansion of the Kodaly method in this country; during 1975 three additional grants were made.

Holy Names College (Oakland, California) received funds for its Kodaly master's degree program. Negotiations are in progress to collaborate with a school district in the area so that all grades can receive instruction by the Kodaly method. A collaboration of this sort is well under way in model programs in New Haven and West Hartford, Connecticut.

The New Haven program prepares its Kodaly instructors in an intense ten-month training program. After Hungarian language instruction, the students study at Hungary's Liszt Academy and teach in Hungarian schools. Foundation support for the program continued with a grant to the New Haven Foundation.

The Kodaly Musical Training Institute, in Wellesley, Massachusetts, received a matching grant to establish a scholarship fund for students in its three-year advanced training programs. Cooperating with nearby universities and conservatories, the institute provides instruction ranging from summer sessions to master's degree programs.

ARTS AND MINORITIES

Although members of minority groups participate in many artistic activities assisted by the Foundation, a special effort has been made in the last several years in support of institutions that foster cultural identity and creative talent in minority communities.

One such organization that has been granted funds in the past, the Harlem School of the Arts, this year received a $600,000 program-related investment which is convertible into a grant. The Foundation's investment guarantees building loans from New York City financial institutions to construct classrooms and a theater. As the school repays the loans it will receive an equivalent amount of Foundation funds in the form of a grant. The school offers instruction in art, dance, drama, voice, or instrument to children and young adults in Harlem's black community. As enrollment grew to more than 700 students, the need to move into larger quarters became acute, and the school undertook a vigorous fund-raising campaign to finance construction of new facilities.
Sculpture, a Native Alaskan art form that once flourished, has suffered serious degeneration during the last century as craftsmen have come into contact with white traders. The Visual Arts Center of Alaska, supported by the Foundation since 1974, is helping to rejuvenate sculpture as well as other Native arts and crafts through a workshop system that offers creative opportunities for artist-instructors and training and experience for young professionals and beginning artists. John Kallukuk, an artist from Nelson Island, is pictured with his alabaster sculpture “The Story.”

The Newark Community Center of the Arts offers similar opportunities to 1,600 minority and disadvantaged youth in Newark, New Jersey, and surrounding areas. The Foundation this year set aside $200,000 for the center; the funds will be channeled through the New Jersey Department of Institutions and Agencies, which will match them three-to-one. The total amount will support the center’s teaching and performance activities.
International Division

Increasing demands by poor countries for a greater share of the world’s wealth formed a conspicuous setting for the international activities of the Foundation and other aid-giving agencies this year. A special United Nations session on the world economy heard various proposals for a global redistribution of income and goods, including increased aid from the industrialized and oil-producing nations, guarantees of higher prices and new markets for exports from developing countries, and stockpiling of food to guard against natural disasters. These events were accompanied by expressions of greater awareness in the industrialized countries of the economic interdependence of nations and the need for new mechanisms for accelerating social and economic development of the Third World.

The Foundation contributes to the development of the poorer nations in Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and Latin America through technical assistance, training, and other activities through four principal approaches:
—finding and applying more efficient scientific and technical means of increasing food production;
—establishing and improving the effectiveness of family-planning programs and developing improved contraceptives;
—promoting educational development;
—improving the capacity of governmental and private agencies to plan and execute development programs.

Approximately 80 per cent of the funds allocated for the Foundation’s international work, which this year totaled $50 million, supports activities relating to the developing countries. The remainder assists efforts aimed at furthering understanding of international issues, particularly in the areas of arms control, international economics, East-West relations, and the preservation of human rights and intellectual freedom. Support also goes for scholarly research and training in the United States to deepen knowledge of international affairs.

ASIA AND THE PACIFIC
Development Planning and Management. To foster the use of modern management techniques in India, the Foundation has been assisting training and research for fifteen years, primarily through three institutions—the Indian Institutes of Management in Ahmedabad and Calcutta and the Administrative Staff College of India in Hyderabad. The first two centers graduate several hundred students annually with degrees equivalent to the master’s in business administration in the United States. All three conduct research and assist private- and public-sector institutions on management problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Amount 1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Agricultural and rural development</td>
<td>118,500</td>
<td>9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Academy for Rural Development</td>
<td>119,119</td>
<td>120,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Agricultural Research Council</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>20,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Government of</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Handicraft Cooperative Federation</td>
<td>136,644</td>
<td>247,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>Agricultural and rural development</td>
<td>30,102</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Complex for Training and Research</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>238,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Institute of International Affairs</td>
<td>121,000</td>
<td>6,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Institute of International Affairs</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>University of</td>
<td>129,139</td>
<td>212,108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request.
Before 1970 the Foundation’s assistance was mainly for visiting American business school professors; since then it has been directed toward helping the institutes launch training and research in new fields of public management.

Supplementary grants went this year to the institute in Ahmedabad to form a Public Systems Group, which will study and advise on management problems in rural development, population and health systems, and energy and transportation, and to the Calcutta Institute to establish a Centre for the Management of Urban Systems, which will conduct research on such subjects as Calcutta’s food supply system and slum improvement program. Because India’s need for competent managers in all sectors and regions is so large, support also was provided to a new management institution in Bangalore, which will focus on public-sector management problems in southern India.

Several regional research institutions in India aimed at strengthening state-level planning were also assisted. They were the Sardar Patel Institute of Economic and Social Research, which received funds to add faculty in economics, sociology, and regional planning; the Systems Research Institute, which provides analytical services for governmental institutions, and the Indian School of Political Economy, which trains state and local leaders in public affairs.

In Indonesia, the government in recent years has placed greater stress on regional planning as a means of distributing the benefits of growth more widely. Planning boards were mandated for all provinces, and the flow of central government funds to the regions doubled. In 1971 the Foundation began a program to train staffs of provincial universities (the chief source of expertise for local planning efforts) in such fields as economics and agriculture. Support for this program was renewed this year. Funds also were provided for a consultant to help design regional development training programs for central and provincial government personnel.

Because Japan is often cited as a model of successful economic growth, the Foundation this year joined with Japanese sources in financing research aimed at isolating those factors in Japan’s economic performance that may have relevance for developing countries. To be conducted by Japan’s International Development Center, the project will examine both patterns of development in Japan and selected developing countries and various governmental policies to remove barriers to economic growth.

**Agricultural and Rural Development.** The continuing lag of the rural sector constitutes the largest single development problem in Asia. In spite of the growth of national-level institutions and the availability of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRANTS AND PROJECTS</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Punjab Agricultural University [1969-1971]</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roorkee University [1969-1974]</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University [1969-1974]</td>
<td>33,562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and cultural development</td>
<td>2,738</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Centre for the Performing Arts [1970-1972]</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School of Planning and Architecture [1970-1974]</td>
<td>18,257</td>
<td>18,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashta and Brontic Foundation of India</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banaras Hindu University Legal education [1969-1977]</td>
<td>(1,199)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants on education, food production, water technology, economics, administration, planning, manpower, family planning, and urban development</td>
<td>5,631</td>
<td>163,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed projects [1969-1974]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics and social science research and training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homi Bhabha Fellowship Council</td>
<td>(350,000-1966)</td>
<td>28,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Econometric Society</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>8,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Statistical Institute</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardar Patel Institute for Economic and Social Research</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Research Institute</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational research and development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birla Institute of Technology and Science [1969-1968]</td>
<td>(230,000)</td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi, University of [1970-1974]</td>
<td>14,337</td>
<td>22,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamat Shikshan Mandal [1969-1972]</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>(674)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xavier Labour Relations Institute</td>
<td>19,986</td>
<td>9,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family-planning training, research, and evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Planning Foundation</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gandhinagar [1969-1972]</td>
<td>(13,661)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India, Government of [1969-1972]</td>
<td>(13,661)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Council of Medical Research [1969-1974]</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>(602)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India, Government of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu Nutrition Project</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India International Centre</td>
<td>Equipment and suppliers [1969-1974]</td>
<td>8,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial relations and business management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Institute of Management (Ahmedabad)</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>82,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Institute of Management (Bangalore)</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Institute of Management (Gwalior)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and training in government and politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: exchange program</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language and linguistics studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Institute of English and Foreign Languages [1969-1973]</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Institute of Indian Languages (Mysore) [1969-1972]</td>
<td>(187,000)</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRANTS AND PROJECTS</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Society of India</td>
<td>Conference on nutrition</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration training</td>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants</td>
<td>[1970-1972]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanishchandra Mahatma State Institute of Public Administration [1969-1972]</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Institute of Public Administration</td>
<td>(1969-1969)</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian School of Political Economy</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucknow, University of [1970-1971]</td>
<td>(89,256)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research on reproductive biology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-India Institute of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi, University of [1969-1969]</td>
<td>(2,253)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Institute of Science (1969-1969)</td>
<td>(1,252)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerala, University of [1969-1969]</td>
<td>(2,252)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan, University of [1969-1969]</td>
<td>(4,832)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and regional planning, training, and research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INDOCHINA

| Agricultural research | |
| Can Tho, University of Vietnam | 5,000 | 3,401 |
| International Rice Research Institute | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Vietnam, Government of | 500 | 500 |
| Arkansas, University of Vietnam | 2,210 | 2,210 |
| Vietnamese Refugee Oral History Project | 12,204 | 12,204 |
| Khmer, Government of (Cambodia) | |
| Documentation of art objects $2,500-1974$ | 2,500 | |
| Missouri, University of Study of Vietnamese refugees | 11,329 | |
| Placement of refugee scholars | |
| Foundation-managed project | 278,487 | 223,058 |
| Preservation of culture | |
| Institute for the Propagation of the Dharma (Vietnam) | 500 | 500 |
| National Library (Vietnam) | 2,210 | 2,210 |
| Van Hanh University (Vietnam) | 8,570 | 8,570 |
| Vietnamese Chapter of International Pen Club | 2,587 | 2,587 |
| Vietnam, Government of | 215 | 215 |
| Research and training in the social sciences and humanities | |
| Foundation-managed project [1969-1974] | (21,871) | 79,111 |
| Hue University | 1,944 | 722 |
| Saigon, University of | 3,100 | 1,845 |

INDONESIA

| Agriculture and rural development | |
| Agricultural Development Council | |
| [1969-1974] | 50,000 | 192,000 |
| Bogor Agricultural Institute | 25,820 | 8,417 |
| Brawijaya University | 68,000 | 7,300 |
| Indonesia, Government of | 132,800 | 87,000 |
| Institute of Technology | 9,494 | 3,607 |
| International Rice Research Institute | 42,900 | 42,900 |
| Padjadjaran University [1949-1974] | 3,697 | |
| Consultants in data processing, clerical skills, census analysis, agriculture, education, family planning, and management | |
| Foundation-managed projects [1969-1972] | (14,533) | 104,256 |
| Family planning and demography | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics | 164,500 | 27,458 |
| Indonesia, University of | 220,000 | 97,911 |
| Indonesian Planned Parenthood Association | 14,350 | |
| Development of National Economic and Social Research Institute [1969-1968] | 2,770 | |
domestic and foreign resources for rural investment, per capita income in many rural areas has not grown appreciably, and the levels of rural leadership, education, health care, and institutional development remain low.

This year the Foundation began an experimental program aimed at improving agricultural and water management technology, education and health, and governmental planning and management in rural areas of Southeast Asia. The program will focus on two of the region's poorest areas—northeast Thailand, an area of some 15 million people with a per capita income of less than $100 a year, and the island of Panay in the Philippines, with a population of 2.5 million and a per capita income of less than $200. Among the proposed projects are more effective systems for the delivery of technical information to farmers; financial and technical assistance for communal irrigation systems, and training related to other aspects of village life.

The Foundation continued to assist efforts to increase rice production throughout the region, through both national research programs and the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) in the Philippines. IRRI recently embarked on a major expansion of research aimed at increasing rice yields by breeding new varieties that combine high yield with natural resistance to insects, disease, and lack of moisture. The Foundation granted $750,000 this year for support of IRRI's operating budget, supplementing some $16 million given since 1960.

Nearly one-third of India's 600 million people depend on rice for their livelihood. Even with the introduction in recent years of new varieties and management practices, production has continued to lag behind population growth. Yields average about one ton per hectare, compared with Indonesia's 2 tons and Japan's 5.5 tons. Poor agroclimatic conditions are responsible for India's low yields, thus requiring expanded investment in research, training, and irrigation and other facilities. This year the Foundation granted $500,000 to the All-India Coordinated Rice Improvement Program for advanced training for scientists and research on rice culture in eastern India, the principal growing region.

The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) in India is a new research center concerned with improving farming systems in areas of the world where rainfall is scant and irrigation unavailable. Research is focused on such dryland crops as sorghum, pearl millet, pigeon-pea and chick-pea, and ground nut. Like IRRI and seven other international agricultural centers, ICRISAT is supported by a consortium of governments, international organizations, and foundations. Although the Ford Foundation does not
contribute directly to ICRISAT’s support, it did participate in its organization and this year granted funds to the nearby Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University to strengthen its collaboration with the institute.

In addition to work on specific crops, the Foundation supports social science research and training aimed at helping governments understand and deal with problems of rural areas. Two projects assisted this year focus on the interrelations of governmental policies and the nutritional status of the rural poor. In India, funds were granted to complete a systems analysis of the nutritional aspects of the economy of the state of Tamil Nadu. It attempts to predict the effects on nutrition of changes in agricultural production, income distribution, and food processing and distribution. A second grant is assisting the University of Dacca in Bangladesh in surveying the nutritional status of 600 rural households and measuring the impact of nutrition on fertility.

Bangladesh’s efforts to integrate poor rural women into the development process were assisted with grants for two projects, one aimed at developing a system of farming, animal raising, and trading cooperatives, the other at improving the manufacture of handicrafts.

Education. Assistance this year continued to focus on strengthening educational research and planning capacities within national and provincial agencies.

In Malaysia, where the Foundation has assisted teacher training and national educational planning since 1962, funds were granted for advisory and training assistance to the Educational Planning and Research Division of the Ministry of Education. Having analyzed the relations between school dropout rates and socio-economic and racial factors, the unit made recommendations for amalgamation of small rural schools, for compensatory education, and for alternative methods of financing higher education. The new grant will enable several staff members to complete their doctoral training abroad and will assist the training of regional educational planners.

A supplementary grant also went to Indonesia’s Ministry of Education and Culture to continue pilot educational planning projects in East Java and West Sumatra. Staffs have been trained and have begun to assess provincial educational needs. The researchers have now been integrated into the provincial educational planning boards and will assist in upgrading boards in other provinces.

Population. The Foundation’s work on Asian population problems stresses social science research on demographic issues and activities designed to strengthen the management of family-planning programs. This year, for
example, funds were granted to Bangladesh’s new Division of Population Control and Family Planning for consultants on organization and management, staff training, and equipment and supplies. The division is charged with coordinating an intensified effort to control the country’s population growth through a range of such family-planning activities as research, training, incentives for birth prevention, and an expansion of contraceptive services.

Support also went to the Population Center Foundation in the Philippines, a private agency that coordinates social science research and other activities of both public and private family-planning agencies. The funds are assisting two projects—a study of governmental policies that can enhance the quality of life by the year 2000, when population will have doubled, and an analysis of ways to increase contraceptive use.

**Language and the Arts.** For the past five years the Foundation has granted a modest amount of funds for activities aimed at preserving the rich cultural and artistic traditions of Asia. For example, training was provided for archeologists excavating the Ban Chiang site in northeast Thailand, where early bronze artifacts have been discovered, and for Asian journalists who report on cultural activities. Support was renewed this year for such activities, including the services of an art historian who has been advising Asian museums and libraries on improving their collections. The Council for Living Traditions, an organization of Filipino humanists, received funds for the preservation of a wide range of traditional arts, literature, music, and architecture.

For more than twenty years the Foundation has assisted language training and development in Indonesia, principally English-language teaching in secondary schools. The country is now placing greater stress on the development of Bahasa Indonesia as the national language, and the Foundation granted funds this year for staff training and purchase of equipment for the National Center for Language Development, which was created in 1974 to oversee all language activities.

**Indochina Refugees.** In keeping with its efforts in various parts of the world to aid intellectuals forced to leave their countries for political reasons, the Foundation made available $300,000 for research and training fellowships for scholars from Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam. The funds will also support research on readjustment problems of Indochinese refugees.

**Asian Studies.** Although the Foundation in recent years has reduced its support for Asian studies in U.S. universities, it continues to play a role in maintaining these
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRANTS AND PROJECTS</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water resource and rural development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona, University of</td>
<td>61,600</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Luzon State University</td>
<td>2,082</td>
<td>1,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Mindanao University ($3,600—1974)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Philippine University</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research, training, and consultants</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine Council for Agricultural Research (PCARRD)</td>
<td>3,024</td>
<td>1,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines, Government of the</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SINGAPORE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, University of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening University of Singapore’s Faculty of Engineering ($96,000—1972)</td>
<td>90,086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THAILAND</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural and rural development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiangmai University</td>
<td>27,865</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chulalongkorn University</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research and consultants ($287,400—1974)</td>
<td>96,624</td>
<td>174,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Agricultural Research</td>
<td>2,297</td>
<td>3,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasetsart University</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>9,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khon Kaen University</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahidol University</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand Government of</td>
<td>19,511</td>
<td>19,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thammasat University</td>
<td>8,175</td>
<td>4,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultants and fellowships</strong> on family planning, education, and population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed projects ($392,000—1974)</td>
<td>118,487</td>
<td>255,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Council</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council for Asian Manpower Studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General support and employment research</td>
<td>29,500</td>
<td>29,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development administration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Institute for Economic Development and Planning</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities ($262,000—1971)</td>
<td>(67,137)</td>
<td>(39,232)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Development Administration ($479,000—1973)</td>
<td>66,489</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational planning and research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khon Kaen University</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Education Commission</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand, Government of</td>
<td>6,415</td>
<td>6,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubolratchathani Province</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family planning and demography</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Institute of Rural Reconstruction</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahidol University</td>
<td>25,098</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Council</td>
<td>44,900</td>
<td>5,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language research and training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Institute of English Language ($100,000—1974)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>45,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chulalongkorn University</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: fellowships, books, and equipment ($292,000—1972)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahidol University</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preservation of art and culture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satchakosol-Nagarakradhi Foundation</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>6,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siam Society</td>
<td>33,500</td>
<td>23,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Nakhonratchasima University</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand, Government of ($176,000—1973)</td>
<td>6,625</td>
<td>8,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social science research and training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiangmai University</td>
<td>20,758</td>
<td>8,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: fellowships</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Association of Thailand</td>
<td>22,350</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thammasat University</td>
<td>2,423</td>
<td>2,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University development</td>
<td>7,698</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiangmai University ($51,150—1974)</td>
<td>7,698</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silpakorn University ($18,000—1974)</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRANTS AND PROJECTS</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASIAN STUDIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Council of Learned Societies ($150,000—1966)</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell University ($500,000—1967)</td>
<td>19,705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University ($485,000—1970)</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London School of Economics and Political Science ($800,000—1967)</td>
<td>(177)</td>
<td>37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Academy of Sciences ($750,000—1974)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Society</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDOCHINA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell University ($300,000—1970)</td>
<td>16,830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JAPAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University ($196,012—1974)</td>
<td>51,038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council on Foundations</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University ($250,000—1974)</td>
<td>(44,907)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Development Center of Japan</td>
<td>114,200</td>
<td>40,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International House of Japan ($42,500—1973)</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Press Institute ($395,800—1974)</td>
<td>19,875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Center for International Exchange ($750,000—1974)</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Society</td>
<td>1,283</td>
<td>1,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan University of ($63,081—1974)</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council ($250,000—1968)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society for Japanese Studies</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>26,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KOREA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hankuk University of ($49,000—1974)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea-Chooongang Educational Foundation</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEPAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association for Asian Studies ($46,000—1972)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Council of Learned Societies ($329,000—1974)</td>
<td>17,250</td>
<td>144,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Historical Association ($180,000—1973)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Society ($80,000—1974)</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association for Asian Studies ($230,000—1974)</td>
<td>70,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Berkeley) ($460,000—1972)</td>
<td>177,032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of Los Angeles</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, University of</td>
<td>589,878</td>
<td>(10,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University</td>
<td>605,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell University</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research awards for study in Asia*</td>
<td>893,800</td>
<td>406,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>236,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea-Chooongang Educational Foundation ($300,000—1970)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan University</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>108,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Endowment for the Humanities</td>
<td>78,500</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Elizabeth House (Oxford) ($592,000—1972)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>7,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council ($143,000—1974)</td>
<td>1,003,025</td>
<td>1,003,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, University of ($450,000—1970)</td>
<td>117,572</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University ($150,000—1969)</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,049,251</td>
<td>13,891,771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN |  |  |
| Agricultural research and training |  |  |
| Institute of International Education ($100,000—1970) | ($60,000—1970) |  |
| International Center of Tropical Agriculture (Columbia) | 715,000 | 541,500 |
| International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (Mexico) ($750,000—1974) | 650,000 | 575,500 |
| International Potato Center (Peru) ($120,000—1974) | 120,000 |  |

*List available on request
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistance to displaced scholars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Social Science Council</td>
<td>$192,000—1974</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Studies Association</td>
<td>$43,000—1974</td>
<td>$43,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Council of Churches of Christ in the USA</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World University Service in Canada</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational research and training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Association for the Advancement of Science</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Applied Linguistics</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project $80,000—1974</td>
<td>$11,433</td>
<td>$4,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois University</td>
<td>$1,433</td>
<td>$1,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Academy of Sciences $96,000—1969</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>$301,000—1971</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field office operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project</td>
<td>$772,131</td>
<td>$680,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina, University of</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference on Chile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas professional service fellowships</td>
<td>$55,000—1967</td>
<td>$1,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and communication on economics and public affairs</td>
<td>146,992</td>
<td>48,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookings Institution $408,000—1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Inter-American Relations $150,000—1974</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Latin American Monetary Studies (Mexico)</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-American Planning Society</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$22,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Studies on Latin American Economic Integration</td>
<td>$221,992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Program for Employment in Latin America $85,000—1974</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research, conferences, and training in demography and reproductive biology</td>
<td>286,800</td>
<td>159,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Demographic Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan American Federation of Associations of Medical Schools $113,740—1974</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Population Center $300,000—1974</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research and training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, University of $125,000—1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>54,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Faculty of Social Sciences (Chile) $200,000—1974</td>
<td></td>
<td>69,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Institute for Economic and Social Planning (Chile) $39,500—1974</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Social Science Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocuato Di Tella Institute $100,000—1973</td>
<td></td>
<td>473,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in industrial engineering $100,000—1970</td>
<td>(480)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARGENTINA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural research and training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentine Graduate School in Agricultural Sciences $232,000—1972</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of International Education $224,820—1971</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center $500,000—1970</td>
<td>(30,940)</td>
<td>(29,875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Studies of the State and Society Social science research</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Center for Research and Social Action</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Educational Research</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation for Latin American Economic Research</td>
<td>Research on economic policy $38,200—1974</td>
<td>18,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

American scholars of Asian affairs now number more than 5,000, many of whom studied under large Foundation grants to international training and research centers during the 1950s and 1960s. To help sustain this community, the Foundation granted $3 million this year to seven centers—those dealing with East Asia at the University of California (Berkeley), Columbia, Harvard, Michigan, and Stanford; the South Asia Center at the University of Chicago, and the Southeast Asia Center at Cornell.

Grants were also received by the American Council of Learned Societies, the Social Science Research Council, and the National Endowment for the Humanities for research awards on East Asia and support of scholarly committees dealing with China, Japan, and Korea. The ACLS was also granted funds for a study of the acquisition and maintenance problems of libraries with holdings of East Asian materials.

**Latin America and the Caribbean**

**Education and Research.** Foundation assistance to education and scholarship in Latin America continued to concentrate on strengthening national capacities for analysis of educational needs and on developing a corps of social scientists able to bring their special insights to bear on development problems.

In furtherance of the first goal, young educational research specialists have been sent abroad for graduate training, mostly in the social sciences rather than in traditional pedagogy or philosophy. Several have now returned to their countries, often to be employed in an emerging network of Foundation-supported research centers. They develop new curricula and conduct studies on such subjects as the relations between education and employment, and nutrition and learning.

Among the centers receiving support this year were the Center for Educational Research and Development in Chile and groups at the Pontifical Catholic University of Chile and the Foundation Center for Research and Social Action in Argentina. Their activities include publishing a journal on new teaching methods and materials, assessing efforts to involve parents and students in school governance, and studying the relations between parental learning and the learning of children.

In Brazil, support was renewed for a program of overseas fellowships and research in which scholars have studied such subjects as the education and participation in the labor force of Brazilian women and the political socialization of adolescents. A terminal grant went to Stanford University for specialized training in education for students from Latin America, the Caribbean, and Southeast Asia.

The Foundation has been assisting the development...
of the social sciences in Latin America and the Caribbean for more than a decade, initially through support to university social science departments and more recently through grants to independent research centers or through individual research and training awards. Because of unsettled conditions, many Latin American social scientists no longer can work effectively within universities and have affiliated with independent research centers. Two such centers assisted in 1975 were the Institute of Peruvian Studies (IEP) and the Brazilian Center for Analysis and Planning (CEBRAP). Formed in 1964 by prominent Peruvian social scientists who had left Peru’s leading national university, IEP initially emphasized research on the Indian communities in the Peruvian highlands but now has broadened its concerns to include agrarian reform, socioeconomic analysis of Peruvian shantytowns, and social changes resulting from governmental reforms. CEBRAP, which received a $750,000 grant toward an endowment, is an interdisciplinary group of some forty researchers who are studying such subjects as early Brazilian political movements, the state’s role in capital accumulation, urban unemployment, and the sociological dimensions of religion.

Several smaller social science research centers also received support: two in Uruguay, both composed of scholars who had been at the University of the Republic, and one in Argentina. A program of individual research awards for scholars from Argentina, Chile, and Uruguay was also initiated.

In Brazil, the Foundation has played a major role in the development of the social sciences, providing some $5.5 million to develop graduate programs in political science, sociology, social anthropology, administrative sciences, and law. Since support for these programs is gradually being phased out, the Foundation this year set aside funds for doctoral fellowships, research awards, and conferences to help maintain and strengthen the Brazilian social science community.

A ten-year, $10 million program of scholarly collaboration between the universities of Chile and California was completed this year with a final grant of $198,000. The funds are supporting the completion of graduate study by Chilean students in California, visits by California professors to advise on course development and research, and purchase of related books and research materials.

Malnutrition is widespread among children in poorer countries, retarding physical growth and intellectual development and raising mortality rates. The Foundation supports national and international research to raise the nutritive content as well as yields of major food crops and research on improved food production and distribution policies.
Development Planning and Management. The Foundation continued to support research on public-policy issues, particularly those dealing with economic integration, and training to upgrade the management of public-sector institutions.

Supplementary grants were provided to the Junta of the Agreement of Cartagena, a regional compact of Andean nations which has been studying ways to expand economic cooperation, and to the Joint Studies on Latin American Economic Integration (ECIEL), a group of twenty-one research centers conducting regionwide economic studies on consumption patterns, income distribution, wages and prices, and employment. The Junta, formed in 1969 by Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, and Venezuela, has used Foundation funds to develop its research capacity and to form links with the principal economic research centers in the sub-region, many of them participants in the ECIEL network. Supported since 1962 with some $2.5 million in Foundation grants, ECIEL is now headquartered in Rio de Janeiro. The grant is supporting the continued collaboration of the Brookings Institution in the project.

Several key national research institutions received renewed support, including the University of the West Indies’ Institute of Social and Economic Research, the leading social science research center in the Caribbean. Assisted since 1959 by grants totaling $1.3 million, the institute has made studies for Caribbean governments and international agencies on such subjects as fiscal policy, low-income housing, and the transfer of technology. In Colombia, the Foundation for Higher Education and Development was granted funds for policy-oriented research in the areas of economics, natural resources and technology, and human resources.

The management of public-sector institutions has assumed increasing importance in Latin America in recent years as a result of the growth of central governments and state enterprises. In Peru, for example, governmental expenditures account for about 30 per cent of the country’s gross national product and more than half the total investments. To strengthen research and training in public management in the area’s universities, funds were granted this year to the National Institute of Public Administration in Peru and the Central American Institute of Administration of Enterprises. The grants will assist the development of modern analytical tools and teaching materials dealing with public-sector management.

As an extension of earlier support of efforts to reform legal education in Colombia, the Foundation granted funds to the Research Center for the Defense of Public Interest for the launching of the first public interest law venture in the region. Focusing initially on those envi-
Agriculture. A critical problem of Latin American agriculture is the extreme poverty and low productivity of farmers tilling small plots. Comprising a large proportion of the population in many countries, this group has not benefited from the improved farming practices developed in recent years or from efforts to integrate them into the economic mainstream. One-third of Mexico’s rural inhabitants, for example, earn less than $100 a year, compared with $700 for the nation as a whole. Mexican agriculture generates only 10 per cent of the national product, although employing 40 per cent of the labor force.

Foundation assistance to Mexican agricultural development since the early 1960s has emphasized graduate training and research in agricultural economics, agricultural business, and statistics and computing. Some $2.8 million has been provided for programs in these fields at the National School of Agriculture and the Monterrey Institute of Technology. To help link the research groups at these institutions to the Mexican government’s efforts to accelerate rural development, the Foundation provided funds this year for research on rural welfare issues. A major aim is to provide policy makers with better information on the social, political, and economic constraints to rural development and on the consequences of alternative development policies.

The Foundation continued to support the region’s two international agricultural research centers, the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) in Mexico, and the International Center of Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) in Colombia. CIMMYT has recently been trying to improve the disease resistance of bread wheats and to raise yield and protein content of the tropical corn plant to approach that of the temperate-zone plant. CIAT focuses on improving crops, livestock, and farming systems in the lowland tropics.

The Foundation also continued support for an experimental project to increase the production of sorghum and millet in the arid regions of northeast Brazil. Thousands of lines of the two crops have been screened and tested for yield, response to fertilizer, and resistance to disease and pests. About a dozen sorghum varieties have been found to be well adapted to the region and are to be released for commercial use.

An estimated 60 per cent of the people of Brazil’s Northeast are malnourished because of their poverty and the poor nutritive value of the foods they consume. For
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reduction)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research awards*</td>
<td>23,055</td>
<td>5,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Center for the Defense of Public Interest</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research on deprived urban children</td>
<td>42,500 (22,500)</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombian Institute for Family Welfare</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Ecology Research Station Foundation</td>
<td>31,771</td>
<td>23,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and research in mass communication</td>
<td>204,500</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia Institute of Social Development</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research projects*</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallee, University of Division of Sciences</td>
<td>5,568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECUADOR</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador, Pontifical Catholic University of Training in the social sciences</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEXICO</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural and rural development</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Berkeley)</td>
<td>204,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Development Institute</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Mexican States</td>
<td>6,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Educational Studies Research and training in educational policy</td>
<td>447,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational and Cultural Development</td>
<td>740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Institute of Technology and Advanced Studies</td>
<td>740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and public administration education</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Behavioral Sciences and Public Opinion Research Institute</td>
<td>28,460 (95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of language development</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and training in reproductive biology, demography, and family planning</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital of Nutritional Diseases</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Institute of Social Studies</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Population Association</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, College of Mexico, National Autonomous University of</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's Hospital</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and research in the social sciences: Foundation-managed project</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARAGUAY AND URUGUAY</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research (Uruguay)</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Economic Research</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Information and Studies of Uruguay</td>
<td>55,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguayan Center of Sociological Studies</td>
<td>389,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERU</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational planning and development</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher School for Public Administration</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Council of the Peruvian University</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru, Republic of (National Institute for Educational Research and Development)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reduction)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foundation for National Development Agricultural research and training</td>
<td>4,450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interuniversity training and research in the basic sciences</td>
<td>53,460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrarian University</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oaxaca State University</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants and fellowships*</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National University of Engineering</td>
<td>42,468</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru, Pontifical Catholic University of</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junta of the Agreement of Cartagena Research on Andean economic integration</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistics and bilingual education: research and training Foundation-managed project</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru, Pontifical Catholic University of Legal education</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research and training</td>
<td>7,753</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrarian University</td>
<td>445,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Studies of Population and Development</td>
<td>107,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Reserve Bank of Peru</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project</td>
<td>4,354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Civil Studies</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Economic Policy</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio, Catholic University</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio, Catholic University</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Augustin, National University</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENEZUELA</td>
<td>485</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Advanced Studies of Administration</td>
<td>7,215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and public administration program</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos, National University of Language-teaching service</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela, Republic of</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational research and development</td>
<td>37,367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATIN AMERICAN STUDIES</td>
<td>7,215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuban and Caribbean studies</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Council on Education</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research and training</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York University</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State University</td>
<td>35,016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowships and research awards Foundation-managed projects*</td>
<td>1,181,005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of International Education</td>
<td>1,649,095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Studies Association</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council</td>
<td>30,025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[$1,000,000—1974]</td>
<td>768,247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulane College</td>
<td>20,050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies of Latin America</td>
<td>561,598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan University</td>
<td>105,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford University</td>
<td>99,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies of women in Latin America Coordination of Initiatives for Development in Latin America (CUDAL)</td>
<td>19,250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Studies Association</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>24,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,123,233</td>
<td>11,463,757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request.
research on these problems and the training of specialists for an expanded nutrition program, funds were provided for nationwide fellowships and for the Institute of Nutrition of the Federal University of Pernambuco.

In the Dominican Republic, the Foundation has been assisting agricultural research and training at the Higher Institute of Agriculture, which began as a high school-level vocational training center and expanded to a four-year postsecondary program with specializations in farm mechanization, agricultural education, and agricultural business. A terminal grant this year is enabling several institute staff members to complete their graduate training abroad.

**Population.** The Foundation joined with several other funding sources this year in support of a regional program of social science research related to population policy. Coordinated by the Latin American Social Science Council, the program is sponsoring studies of such subjects as the role of elite groups and migration, the effect of rural health services on fertility and migration, and the interaction between development strategies and population change.

Additional actions in support of demographic and other population research included a grant to the Regional Population Center in Colombia formerly associated with the Colombian Association of Medical Schools (which studies both national and regional population issues), and renewed assistance for a Brazilian project to train demographers and introduce population studies into graduate schools.

Biomedical research on human reproduction and its regulation has been supported by the Foundation for nearly a decade in the region. The funds have assisted research on the physiology of the reproductive system, the evaluation of new contraceptive drugs, and the training of reproductive scientists. Terminal grants were provided this year for several research centers, including those at the federal universities of Bahia and Juiz de Fora in Brazil, the Hospital of Nutritional Diseases in Mexico, and the Pontifical Catholic University of Chile. Future Foundation assistance in the field will be channeled through a regional program of research awards or through international competitions administered by the Foundation’s Population Office (see page 57).

**MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA**

**Agriculture.** The Foundation has provided substantial assistance since 1968 to the Arid Lands Agricultural Development Program, a multi-country research effort to increase the production of wheat, corn, barley, rice, and other crops and livestock on the semi-arid lands of

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field office operations</td>
<td>134,256</td>
<td>85,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Information on Africa and the Middle East</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afro-American field research fellowships*</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>118,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: $124,000—1976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council; doctoral fellowships on the Middle East and Africa</td>
<td>276,500</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUB-SAHARA AFRICA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural research and training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of Faculties of Agriculture in Africa</td>
<td>8,764</td>
<td>8,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: fellowships*</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>220,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (Nigeria)</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan African Institute for Development Council (Geneva): $255,000—1974</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Association for the Advancement of Agricultural Sciences in Africa</strong></td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural research seminars: $50,000—1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultants on development planning and public administration</strong></td>
<td>218,200</td>
<td>208,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Extension College (London)</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana Extension College: $300,000—1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Journal of African Writing (Okike)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: salaries and publishing costs: $25,000—1973</td>
<td>330,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research, training, and conferences on Africa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa Publications Trust</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American Institute</td>
<td>18,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Social Studies Programme (Kenya)</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Studies Association</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of African Universities</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Los Angeles) ($20,000—1971, 1972, 1973)</td>
<td>110,989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University ($54,910—1973)</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Extension College (London)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Press Institute (Zurich) ($150,000—1973)</td>
<td>65,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johns Hopkins University ($106,600—1971)</td>
<td>22,599</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of London</td>
<td>79,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan, University of</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, City University of</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern University ($30,000—1973)</td>
<td>15,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional public administration seminars: Foundation-managed projects</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council</td>
<td>12,699</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, University of ($128,170—1972)</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town Affiliation Association of the United States</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits by Sister City Program representatives</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EAST AFRICA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East African Community</td>
<td>4,519</td>
<td>4,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop on educational testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Africa Agricultural Economics Society</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual conference and workshop: $5,440—1974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language Association of Eastern Africa</strong></td>
<td>58,250</td>
<td>8,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language research and education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population research and training</strong></td>
<td>110,422</td>
<td>46,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants, seminars, and research awards*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociolinguistic survey in Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request.
the Middle East. ALAD scientists have worked with agricultural specialists in Egypt, Iran, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria, Saudi Arabia, and the Sudan to establish national research programs and to adapt imported seed varieties to the special growing conditions of the region. Several of ALAD’s activities will soon be incorporated into the International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), a new member of the network of international agricultural research and training centers supported by a group of some thirty international assistance agencies, including the Ford Foundation. Additional funds were granted by the Foundation this year to continue ALAD’s work pending the beginning of operations by ICARDA early in 1976.

In Egypt, funds were provided to continue research on wheat, rice, and corn production in the Nile Valley and for experimental projects in farm mechanization, soil and water management, and grain processing. Although significant increases have been achieved in cereal production in the valley through the use of improved seed varieties, its full agricultural potential cannot be reached without improved technology. Funds will support the development of low-cost prototype machinery, such as planters, seed drills, and threshers, for use by small farmers. A study of the agricultural research needs of the Sudan will also be made.

Research on the agricultural production problems of sub-Saharan Africa is conducted at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture in Nigeria, which received a $750,000 supplement. IITA concentrates on devising farming systems that permit more intensive use of forested areas in the lowland, humid tropics. In work at its main center and through outreach activities in Liberia, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, and Zaire, it is seeking to develop improved varieties of cowpeas, lima beans, yams and sweet potatoes, corn, rice, soybeans, and cassava.

Support also went for the continued development of postgraduate training in the agricultural sciences at the nearby University of Ibadan. The university collaborates with IITA in training agricultural specialists from both English- and French-speaking areas of Africa.

Since the French-speaking countries of West Africa have few qualified agricultural economists to analyze and consult on rural development problems, the Foundation set aside funds this year for a five-year program to train some forty-five specialists at the University of Ibadan, other West African universities, and abroad.

**Education and Research.** Several actions this year seek to strengthen national capacities for social science research focusing on development problems. For example, a grant was made to Morocco’s National Institute of Statistics
and Applied Economics for a study of the social problems of Moroccan workers who have migrated back to their country as a result of the European economic recession. In East Africa, funds were provided to enhance the professional development of younger social scientists through research and other activities.

The Foundation provided partial funding for a four-year effort to strengthen educational research in Francophone West Africa through a special training program at Laval University in Quebec that will include field research in West Africa. The aim is to train a corps of specialists in social science theory and research methodology applicable to the investigation of educational problems and programs. A related grant went to the International Extension College in London, which has been aiding the development of “distance education” in Africa, which makes use of correspondence, broadcasts, and face-to-face instruction.

The Science and Mathematics Education Center of the American University of Beirut has come to be one of the most important educational resources in the Middle East. With Foundation assistance since its establishment in 1969, the center has advised education ministries throughout the region on curriculum revision, textbook preparation, and teacher training. A terminal grant is assisting the center’s research while it seeks to augment its support through government contracts. Center staff are also advising the Sudanese government on the completion of eight science and mathematics textbooks, for which a separate grant was provided.

Terminal grants also went to the English Language Institute (ELI) of the American University of Cairo and an experimental vernacular and English-language project at the University of Ife in Nigeria. Assisted by the Foundation since 1965, ELI provides in-service training for Egyptian primary and secondary school English teachers as well as master’s degree training in teaching English. The institute hopes to continue with support from governments in the region. The Nigerian project is testing the hypothesis that primary education is more effective when taught in the child’s mother tongue, in this case Yoruba, the vernacular language of the Western State of Nigeria. Foundation funds will underwrite final assessment of the project.

Two research projects aimed at increasing collaboration and exchanges among social scientists with interests in the Middle East and Africa were assisted. At Princeton University, research seminars will examine the role and status of religious minorities in the Middle East and the impact of Islam on Africa, while at the University of London a study will be made of the social history of Africans in South Africa in the twentieth century. Support also was continued for a fellowship program to
### SOUTH AFRICA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants and Projects</th>
<th>Approvals (Reductions)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zaire, National University of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and training in education</td>
<td>[$100,000—1974]</td>
<td>12,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTH AFRICA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Music and Drama Association (Union Artists)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff support [$25,000—1974]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Law</td>
<td>Research on South Africa</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nabili, University of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English-language teaching</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South African Institute of Race Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and publication activities</td>
<td>[$250,000—1974]</td>
<td>156,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S.—South Africa Leader Exchange Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial symposium [$10,000—1974]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH AFRICA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Council</td>
<td>Research and training in family planning</td>
<td>[$235,000—1973]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research on agricultural and rural development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed projects</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>34,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Wheat Improvement Center [$1,035,000—1973]</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>627,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training and research in social sciences and management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed projects: research awards, conferences, consultants</td>
<td>202,818</td>
<td>65,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOROCCO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moroccan Association of Young Businessmen</td>
<td>Seminars on modern business practices</td>
<td>[$240,000—1972]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research and training</td>
<td>94,000</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Group of Trainers, Directors, and Personnel Chiefs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference on management training</td>
<td>9,350</td>
<td>9,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TUNISIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>English-language training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants</td>
<td>116,886</td>
<td>90,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maghrébien Historical Review</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of four issues of the Review</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tunis, University of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library materials</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>4,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tunisia, Republic of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English-language textbook development</td>
<td>[$35,000—1959]</td>
<td>(1,319)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIDDLE EAST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural research</td>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research and consultants</td>
<td>[$1,370,000—1974]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Association for International Communication Seminars (Zurich)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference on Arab-Western relations</td>
<td>[$6,000—1973]</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beirut College</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library and laboratory resources</td>
<td>[$100,000—1974]</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRANTS AND PROJECTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants in the Middle East and North Africa on agriculture, education, language, public administration, economic planning, population, and business management Foundation-managed projects</td>
<td>920,822</td>
<td>435,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and training on the Middle East Foundation-managed project: research awards and other social science projects</td>
<td>102,230</td>
<td>36,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Institute [$38,350—1973]</td>
<td>(1,340)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Studies Association [$20,000—1973]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton University</td>
<td>122,500</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rand Corporation</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science Research Council [$294,975—1974]</td>
<td>252,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town Affiliation Association of the United States</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits by Baxter City Program representatives</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wisconsin, University of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science education in Arab countries</td>
<td>[$57,000—1966]</td>
<td>23,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EGYPT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American University in Cairo</td>
<td>Completion of Egyptian Arabic dictionary</td>
<td>[$78,600—1972]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conferences on social research and training</td>
<td>45,060</td>
<td>95,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English-language training</td>
<td>157,875</td>
<td>86,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in administration and business management</td>
<td>[$101,000—1972]</td>
<td>64,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo, University of</td>
<td>Institute of Statistical Studies</td>
<td>[$125,000—1973]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library modernization</td>
<td>[$110,000—1972]</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EGYPT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt, Government of</td>
<td>Family planning and reproductive biology research</td>
<td>[$50,000—1972]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institute of Aquatic Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[$218,000—1965]</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institute of Land Reclamation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[$45,000—1971]</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library materials</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research on law and social change</td>
<td>[$52,000—1973]</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science education pilot project</td>
<td>5,885</td>
<td>5,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengthening Investment Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: consultants</td>
<td>[$300,000—1974]</td>
<td>(6,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISRAEL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Israel Foundations Trustees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social science research</td>
<td>[$500,000—1974]</td>
<td>62,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JORDAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jordan, Government of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil service classification and pay plan</td>
<td>[$11,000—1968]</td>
<td>(3,542)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-service teacher training program</td>
<td>[$172,000—1972]</td>
<td>(6,840)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and training on economic development</td>
<td>[$101,000—1967]</td>
<td>(4,799)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Royal Scientific Society</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research on income distribution</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYRIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aleppo, University of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of agricultural and science facilities</td>
<td>[$300,000—1968]</td>
<td>18,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEBANON</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation-managed project: research and seminars</td>
<td>[$257,000—1974]</td>
<td>(14,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon, Republic of</td>
<td>[$200,000—1973]</td>
<td>94,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon, Republic of</td>
<td>[$150,000—1973]</td>
<td>1,896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request*
increase the number of black American scholars specializing in Middle East and African affairs.

**Development Planning and Management.** Since the early 1960s the Foundation has provided substantial assistance to Nigeria to strengthen economic planning and training for public service. For example, the Institute of Administration of the University of Ife received more than $1 million from 1963 through 1971 to help meet the country’s urgent needs for well-trained civil servants. Other funds have provided economic advisors to the central and state governments, assisted industrial expansion, and strengthened economic and social research.

Continuing this assistance, the Foundation this year granted funds in connection with the country’s efforts to develop small and medium-sized industry. Nigeria has been stimulating the development of paper, brickmaking, food-processing, and other industries that make use of local rather than imported resources. The Foundation funds are supporting an advisor at a regional industrial development center, consulting services of the Denver Research Institute, and economic and financial evaluation of industrial development projects.

To supplement the University of Ife’s more generalized training in public administration, funds were given for visiting professors who have been teaching a course in development economics for middle-level civil servants.

Elsewhere in Africa, support was continued for studies by the University of Ghana’s Institute of Statistical, Social, and Economic Research of labor force growth, income distribution, and rural development; for consultants who have been advising the government of Botswana on such matters as mineral resource development, civil service training, crop and livestock development, monetary policy, and nonformal education, and for research, consultants, and conferences to strengthen management training and research in Tunisia, Morocco, and Algeria.

In the Middle East, funds were allocated to continue the services of consultants who have been advising the governments of Egypt, Sudan, Saudi Arabia, and the Gulf States on such matters as educational modernization, manpower and investment planning, and civil service reorganization.

**Population.** Although official interest in fertility reduction remains modest in most of the region, there have been increasing signs of receptivity to activities aimed at illuminating the relation between population growth and the quality of life. For example, in the Middle East, where the Foundation set aside $150,000 for family-planning research and consultants, researchers have been collecting demographic and fertility data and analyzing
how modern attitudes and values are formed. A few countries actively promote family planning, most notably Egypt, where population pressure on the narrow Nile Valley has been a government concern for more than a decade. The new Foundation funds will support studies, conferences, and consulting activities throughout the region on family planning, the cultural and psychological aspects of fertility motivation, and other subjects.

In West Africa, support was continued for two efforts to integrate family planning into maternal and child health services. With child mortality rates among the highest in the world, West African nations have given priority to improved health-care programs as the best means of reducing both mortality and fertility. Family-planning advice is offered as part of these programs.

The University of Yaounde in Cameroon received a grant for a workshop to train planners on methods to improve health-care systems to meet total family needs. The funds are also supporting a vital statistics survey that will help the government to plan health services. In Nigeria, the government's efforts to expand family-health services were assisted through a grant to the Institute of Child Health of the University of Lagos.

**DEVELOPMENT STUDIES**

The Foundation also supports research, education, and technical assistance relating to the problems of less-developed countries generally. For example, a terminal grant went to the International Legal Center, which was formed in 1966 to assist countries in legal education and the development of their legal systems (see page 55). Supported by more than $5 million in Foundation funds, the center has sent American law professors to teach in foreign law schools and brought foreign students here to be trained as law teachers. Young American lawyers have also served as advisors and researchers for foreign governments under an Overseas Fellows Program. The center's future activities will emphasize the linking of lawyers, social scientists, and legal scholars from developing countries and the West to review research and legal education needs and to explore such subjects as legal services for the poor and relations with multi-national corporations and international agencies.

Continued support also went to the Overseas Development Council in Washington and the Overseas Development Institute in London, both of which conduct research and education on relations between the developed and developing worlds. ODC has helped to focus American private and government attention on such issues as the world food crisis and relations between Western nations and the oil-producing countries. The grant to the British center will help to establish an endowment fund so that the institute can continue to
examine British and European policies toward the Third World.

The University of Wisconsin’s Center for Development received a final grant for fellowships for students from developing countries to attend the center’s eighteen-month course in development administration. The recipient of nearly $1 million in Foundation support since it was established in 1967, the center provides training not readily available in other parts of the world.

EUROPEAN AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Policy Issues. In recent years economic issues have increasingly transcended national frontiers and have come to dominate debate in many international forums. Events such as increases in oil and commodity prices, termination of fixed currency exchange rates, and efforts by developing countries to obtain a greater share of the world’s wealth have seriously shaken the international economic order of the last thirty years and raised concerns about its future stability.

To shed light on the nature of some of these changes and to help in the development of new policies, the Foundation this year made the first series of grants out of a special $1 million appropriation for international economic research. The work falls in five general areas:

—international economic disturbances;
— the organization of the world monetary, investment, and trade systems;
— relations among trade and investment, income distribution, and economic policy;
— trends and policies in commodity supply and demand;
— international economic aspects of environmental problems.

Specifically, studies were funded on future world food supply and demand, growing interdependence of world financial markets, appropriate exchange rate policies for small countries, and the consequences of heavy borrowing from European sources by less-developed countries. Recipients of this year’s grants are listed on page 55.

Separate studies were supported on related economic questions, such as the need for reform of the international trading system, and trends in the rate of return to capital in industrial countries.

The Trade Policy Research Center in London received support to reappraise the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade after two decades of operation and to examine trade issues related to West European economic integration. Other projects receiving support were studies by Professor Harold Jacobson and colleagues at the University of Michigan of the process of regional economic integration among six groups of countries in Africa and Latin America and by Pro-
Professor Daniel Holland of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an international group of economists on changes in the rate of return to capital of corporations in major industrial countries since World War II.

For research on the changing relations between Canada and the United States, particularly issues relating to Canadian fears of U.S. economic and cultural domination, funds were granted to the National Planning Association. Six studies will be conducted on changes that have affected relations between these two countries and with the rest of the world.

Support was continued for the Brookings Institution’s foreign policy studies, in which researchers are examining U.S. defense policies, U.S.-Japanese relations, and the impact of overseas investments on the U.S. economy.

Research and training on problems of arms control and international security, a Foundation concern since the early 1950s, were assisted this year through grants to the Australian National University, the University of California at San Diego and at Los Angeles, the University of Pittsburgh, and Indiana, Columbia, and Johns Hopkins universities. The funds support interdisciplinary curriculum development and research and seminars on such subjects as new approaches to control of nuclear weapons, nuclear proliferation in Asia, and the application of quantitative methods to arms control negotiation and weapons procurement.

**Human Rights, American Studies.** Support was continued for the International Association for Cultural Freedom in Paris, a global network of scholars concerned with the pursuit of free inquiry and the defense of artistic and intellectual liberty. The Minority Rights Group in London received funds for research and information dissemination on the status of ethnic, religious, and cultural minorities in various parts of the world.

Since 1961 the Foundation has provided $9.3 million to the American Council of Learned Societies for a fellowship program aimed at strengthening teaching about the United States at educational institutions abroad. Some 600 scholars from twenty-five countries have been able to enlarge their understanding and knowledge of the United States through study here. A terminal $1 million grant was made this year to continue the fellowships through 1980.

**Soviet and East European Studies.** Although a sizable corps of scholars and other specialists on the Soviet Union and East Europe has been trained over the last twenty years, understanding of the economic, political, social, and cultural processes in these countries is still quite limited. To help deepen such knowledge, the Foundation this year awarded grants totaling $650,000

### Grants and Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1971-1972)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh, University of ($60,000-1971)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton University ($457,000-1972)</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>31,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of international studies; Foundation-managed project ($95,000-1971)</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>4,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Conference on International Studies</td>
<td>42,100</td>
<td>107,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, University of ($200,000-1971)</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>265,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin, University of ($400,000-1971)</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>39,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University ($850,000-1972)</td>
<td>39,254</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**International Urban and Regional Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1971-1972)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Environmental Studies (London) ($10,000-1973)</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ljubljana, University of Massachusetts Institute of Technology ($180,000-1972)</td>
<td>32,954</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research on Problems Concerning Advanced Industrial Nations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1971-1974)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Davis) ($180,000-1974)</td>
<td>52,045</td>
<td>40,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Los Angeles) ($148,000-1973)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>2,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, University of (Santa Barbara) ($152,000-1974)</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie-Mellon University ($146,900-1973)</td>
<td>58,395</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Study and Action (Bologna)</td>
<td>82,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Comparative Studies in Judicial Procedures (Florence) ($158,600-1974)</td>
<td>82,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark University ($100,000-1974)</td>
<td>39,254</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartmouth College ($28,500-1974)</td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fellowships for Young European Scholars—Foundation-managed project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1971-1974)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of (U.B.) ($320,000-1974)</td>
<td>40,632</td>
<td>2,126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**German Association for Foreign Affairs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1974)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Applied Systems Analysis (Berlin) ($257,000-1973)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent State University ($144,000-1974)</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>58,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana State University ($100,000-1974)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louvain, Catholic University of Massachusetts Institute of Technology ($150,000-1974)</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal, University of ($350,000-1973)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern University ($50,000-1973)</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>58,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Interest Research Centre (London)</td>
<td>21,930</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutgers University ($145,500-1973)</td>
<td>47,873</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Center Berlin</td>
<td>21,930</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee, University of ($575,000-1974)</td>
<td>38,520</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Ontario, University of ($147,500-1974)</td>
<td>59,525</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University ($155,000-1974)</td>
<td>59,525</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**European and Canadian Affairs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1974)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dalhousie University (Nova Scotia) ($225,000-1972)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGill University ($100,000-1972)</td>
<td>31,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Planning Association</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliamentary Centre for Foreign Affairs and Foreign Trade (Ottawa) ($90,000-1973)</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**East European and Soviet Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/University</th>
<th>Amount (1974)</th>
<th>Payments (Refunds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Association for the Advancement of Slavic Studies ($75,600-1974)</td>
<td>34,516</td>
<td>19,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Council of Learned Societies ($340,000-1974)</td>
<td>193,700</td>
<td>193,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for the Study of Religion and Communism (England)</td>
<td>31,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke University ($350,000-1972)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida State University</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasgow, University of ($60,000-1973)</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University ($225,000-1973)</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois, University of Indiana University ($150,000-1971)</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Social Science Council</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa, State University of Massachusetts, University of</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan University (Canada) ($100,000-1974)</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan, University of Oxford University</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*List available on request.
for research at seventeen universities and research centers here and abroad. Among the subjects that will be examined are public ownership and management in the Soviet Union, Socialist transformation in southern Transylvania, Soviet legal changes, and the impact of inflation on Hungary, Yugoslavia, and Poland.

To promote increased communication among East and West European scholars and officials on common problems, funds were granted to the Vienna Institute for Comparative Economic Studies and the Graduate Institute of International Studies in Geneva for research and workshops on such subjects as industrial and technical cooperation, expansion of foreign trade, and increased intellectual, scientific, and cultural exchanges.

A terminal grant went to the Council on International Educational Exchange for a program which since 1969 has sent some 300 American undergraduate students to the Soviet Union for Russian-language study.

**POPULATION**

As national and international support for family-planning activities has increased substantially in recent years, the Foundation’s work in the field has tended to focus more on activities aimed at improving understanding of the socioeconomic context within which population changes occur. Thus the Foundation has supported social science research on the linkages between population growth and such variables as education, income, the status of women, rural-urban migration, and land tenure. It has also helped to alert policy makers to the importance of demographic factors in planning for economic development.

Research and training in reproductive biology and contraceptive development have also been supported, although at a reduced level this year because of budgetary restrictions. Assistance has also gone to improve the effectiveness of family-planning programs by applying the tools of modern management.*

**Population Studies.** For the past five years the Ford Foundation has sponsored jointly with the Rockefeller Foundation a competitive research award program to encourage social scientists to study issues relevant to the formulation of population policy. More than ninety awards have been made to scholars here and abroad for research dealing with such subjects as the socioeconomic impact of family-planning programs in the Philippines, motivations for delayed marriage among Hong Kong women, and the effect on fertility of dependency exemptions in U.S. income tax law. Funds were provided this year for two additional rounds of the competition.

*Support for family-planning and other population activities in the developing countries is discussed in the regional summaries on pages 40, 49, and 53.
Universities in the United States that conduct research and training on population matters also received support. One recipient was the University of North Carolina, whose Carolina Population Center annually trains more than 140 advanced students, many of them from developing countries, and has advised some twenty universities in developing countries on setting up population studies programs. It has led in developing teaching materials and training in population program management. The other recipient was the Department of Population Planning at the University of Michigan, which has provided technical assistance to family-planning programs in the U.S., Malaysia, and Nepal and has conducted research on subjects ranging from internal migration in Nigeria to the influence of the mass media on family planning.

The National Bureau of Economic Research received additional support for research and training on the economics of population growth. Bureau researchers, together with colleagues from a number of universities, concentrate on the relations among the number of children in a family, the wife’s allocation of time between home and outside employment, her education, and the family’s lifetime income. Expanding these concepts to developing countries, they will examine such issues as motivations for having large numbers of children, changes in economic institutions and policies that would tend to reduce fertility, and the nature of the relation between high fertility and economic development.

The Population Council, which has received some $45 million from the Foundation since 1954, was granted an additional $2 million for demographic and social science research, technical assistance to population programs, and research on contraceptive development.

**Reproductive Biology.** The Foundation has been a major supporter of research and training in reproductive biology and contraceptive development at medical institutions and research laboratories both here and abroad for more than fifteen years. Some 600 scientists have received predoctoral and postdoctoral training, and many have gone on to become leaders in the field. For example, Dr. Jaime Zipper of the University of Chile originated the use of copper in intrauterine devices, an important new contraceptive; Dr. Sabita Tejuja is deputy director of the Indian Council of Medical Research in charge of evaluating contraceptives for Indian use, and others serve as department heads in medical schools.

Because funds for reproductive research are relatively less available abroad than in the United States, and
because of its own budget limitations, the Foundation is scaling down its support and concentrating it mainly on foreign centers and laboratories with high research capabilities. Several grants this year reflect this policy. For example, Weizmann Institute of Science in Israel, one of the world’s outstanding reproductive science centers, received $1 million through the Population Council for studies of the mechanism of ovulation, secretions of sex hormones, and the action of chemical-releasing IUDs. And the Howard Florey Institute of Experimental Physiology and Medicine in Melbourne was granted $750,000 to match some $1.5 million in Australian resources for research on ways of interfering with sperm maturation and on a possible new contraceptive vaccine.

Other grants continue to support clinical and research training in contraceptive technology at the universities of Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, and Illinois and research at the University of Cambridge on the early development of mammalian embryos. A major Foundation-assisted review of reproductive biology research and contraceptive development over the last twenty years near completion. Conducted by a team headed by Dr. Roy Greep of Harvard University, the review will be completed and its findings published in 1976.

**Family Planning.** Continued support was given, through the Population Council, to the International Committee on Applied Research in Population, an international group of population program administrators and researchers who have been investigating innovative approaches to family planning. Among the methods are provision of postpartum contraceptive services, expanded commercial distribution of contraceptives, and mass media promotion of contraceptive use.

Funds were also set aside to continue consulting services and other work in population communications, evaluation of family-planning informational activities, and improvement of the managerial skills of family-planning administrators. For example, assistance has been given for a program to train Latin American specialists in population communications, for the development of a national family-planning communications plan in Guyana, and for a computerized system to exchange population data between the United States and the Philippines. In the management field, the Foundation has helped stimulate the interest of U.S. management specialists in such problems as how to apply marketing concepts and systems analysis techniques to family-planning programs. It has also assisted the formation of the International Committee on the Management of Population Programs, which is seeking to improve the effectiveness of family-planning services.
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Introduction to Financial Statements

INVESTMENTS
At the end of the 1975 fiscal year, the Foundation's portfolio assets had a value of about $2.0 billion, compared with $1.7 billion at the end of fiscal 1974. By the end of calendar 1975, asset value had risen to $2.1 billion. Cash expenditures during the fiscal year ($203.3 million) were slightly more than 10 per cent of year-end assets, compared to the record outlay of 17 per cent the year before. The average annual percentage expenditure of the Foundation over the past decade has been over 9 per cent of assets.

Although budgets have been reduced, long-term commitments from previous budgets still heavily affect current cash expenditures. In its continuing effort to bring expenditures closer in line with the earning capabilities of Foundation assets, the "overhang" of past commitments (unpaid portions of grants approved in previous years) was reduced further in 1975. The overhang had grown to $600 million in 1967, but by 1970 it had fallen to $431 million, and at the end of 1975 it stood at $290 million.

So actual expenditures will continue to exceed budgets for some years to come, and the Foundation will be expending more than its dividend and interest income, as it has through most of its history.

Performance. Total return for the fiscal year from the equity portion of the portfolio (interest and dividends plus capital growth) was 42 per cent compared to 38 per cent for the S&P 500 Index.*

*The S&P Index has exceeded the return on 75-80 per cent of endowments and pension funds managed in the U.S. over long periods.

Equities account for about three-fourths of the portfolio. The Foundation also owned less volatile investments, such as real estate, bonds, and preferred stocks. The total portfolio, including these assets, produced total returns of about 30 per cent for the fiscal year. These returns would have to be reduced by the 8 per cent average rate of inflation to reflect what really happened to the Foundation's assets during the fiscal year; its purchasing power for philanthropy declined as inflation diminished what its assets could actually buy.

Condition and Process. Annual reports customarily are statements of condition, prepared by auditors, rather than statements of process, prepared by historians. A statement of process which told the story completely would be very long and complex. To summarize the investment process during the year may be useful, however, because year-end figures do not show how allocations of assets changed during the year, and cold performance figures alone do not show how performance was obtained. The Foundation's equity managers attempted to select stocks which would outperform indices like the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, and they succeeded. The size of the Foundation's fixed-income position was contracted, enlarged, and then substantially contracted again during the course of the year. While major shifts in the composition of the portfolio were not attempted, marginal decisions to raise or re-deploy "cash" were made.

About 95 per cent of the portfolio is managed by the Foundation's own staff, the rest by outside managers. Changes in outside managers were made during the year, and the Foundation's mechanisms for managing its investments in real estate were extensively retooled.
Objectives, Volatility, and Performance. The Foundation owns more equities relative to total assets than most other endowments and most pension funds. Our investment objectives include maintaining the purchasing power of our assets and of future budgets, so a heavy equity orientation is required. In strong equity markets, like those of fiscal 1975, such an exposure can produce strong total portfolio performance, just as in very poor equity markets, like the year before, a high exposure to equities will lead to worse performance than for a portfolio holding less volatile securities, such as bonds.

Since the end of the 1975 fiscal year, total returns from equities have continued to exceed substantially those from fixed income securities, and, therefore, the Foundation continues to rank high among mutual funds and pension funds reported by, for instance, Wiesenberger and A. G. Becker & Co. Because of its relatively higher exposure to equities, the Foundation’s portfolio also continues in the current strong equity market to outperform most academic and philanthropic endowments.

This may be a good time, therefore, to stress that the Foundation’s performance should not be compared uncritically with other, less volatile funds which have other necessities. The pension fund comparisons alluded to earlier are particularly inappropriate, and were included here only for the purpose of illustration. Many academic endowments are also managed with lower equity exposure than the Foundation’s, in part because they hope annual alumni giving will rise to offset inflation. The Foundation, which has no such expectations, must seek protection against inflation largely from common stocks and will,
therefore, have a more volatile portfolio. Its assets will increase more in strong equity markets, even with a 10 per cent expenditure rate, than less volatile endowments, most of which have expenditure rates in the 5 to 6 per cent range. Conversely, in very poor equity markets the Foundation is exposed to greater losses in market value.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
In the Statement of Financial Position (page 66) and the Statement of Income, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance (page 67), the accrual method of accounting is followed, under which income is recorded when earned, even if not received, and grants and expenditures are recorded when incurred, even if not paid. Grants are recorded as expenses when approved by the Trustees or the President. A summary of the other accounting policies followed by the Foundation is set forth in Note 1 to the Financial Statements (page 69).

The Foundation's investments are carried at market values in the accounts, and corresponding changes in realized and unrealized appreciation or depreciation on securities holdings are reflected in the Statement of Income, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance (page 67).

Income and Expenses. Gross income from dividends and interest in 1975 amounted to $94.5 million as compared with $110.7 million in 1974.

The decrease was the result of two factors. One was a reduction of interest on fixed income securities. The other was the sale of earning assets in order to make up the difference ($119.5 million) between expenditures and income.

Expenditures for program activities—grants approved, expenditures for the direct conduct of charitable activities (Foundation-managed projects), and program management expenses—totaled $195.2 million as compared with $241.7 million in 1974. General administrative expenses amounted to $9.4 million in 1975 as compared with $9.8 million in 1974. Since the Foundation was established in 1936, grants and expenses have totaled $5 billion (see chart, page 65).

On a cash basis, the Foundation disbursed $203.3 million (see Statement of Sources and Uses of Cash, page 68), as compared with $284.2 million in 1974.

New Commitments. The Foundation's new program activity in a given year consists of Trustee-approved appropriations from which grants are made and program activities are conducted directly by the Foundation.

In 1975, new commitments totaled $95.5 million, a substantial decrease from $291.2 million for the previous year. This reduction reflects, in part, the decision of the Trustees in March not to appropriate a significant portion of funds budgeted for 1975 program activities in order to reduce future expenditures.

Unpaid Grants. The total of payments still due on approved grants on September 30, 1975 was $224.5 million, compared with $269.2 million at the end of the previous fiscal year.

Program-Related Investments. In addition to making grants, the Foundation uses its funds to finance or invest in enterprises that advance philanthropic purposes in various fields of Foundation interest. The Trustees have authorized $50 million for these investments, of which $31.6 million had been approved and $22.4 million disbursed or guaranteed at September 30, 1975. A list of program-related investments begins on page 24.

TAX REFORM ACT OF 1969
The Foundation is subject to the provisions of the Act as it relates to private foundations. The Act imposes,
as among other requirements, an excise tax of 4 per cent on net investment income, defined as dividends, interest, and net realized gains on securities transactions, reduced by related expenses. Net realized losses on security transactions may not be offset against dividend and interest income nor applied to previous or future fiscal years to offset capital gains.

For the years 1971 through 1974, the Foundation paid taxes totaling $30.1 million; the tax for fiscal 1975 is estimated at $3.5 million.

The Act also requires private foundations to distribute income (as defined in the Act) by the end of the year following the year in which earned. The amounts required to be distributed are determined on the basis of either income or a percentage of the market value of assets (5.5 per cent in fiscal 1975 and 6 per cent in fiscal 1976), whichever is higher. The Foundation's actual distributions for the first five years under the Act substantially exceed the required amounts, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Distributed*</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>$275.6</td>
<td>$138.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>259.9</td>
<td>113.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>236.7</td>
<td>131.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>232.8</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975 (estimated)</td>
<td>252.2</td>
<td>101.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Distributions are defined specifically under the Tax Reform Act and will therefore differ from cash disbursements as reported on page 64.

ROGER G. KENNEDY
VICE PRESIDENT
FINANCIAL AFFAIRS

JANUARY 15, 1976
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investments, at market (Note 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities</td>
<td>$455,556</td>
<td>$382,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities with equity participation</td>
<td>39,624</td>
<td>60,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities</td>
<td>1,508,738</td>
<td>1,285,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,003,918</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,698,967</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other assets</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>2,723</td>
<td>5,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuity held for assignment to the Fund for Henry Ford Hospital, at cost (Note 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>49,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables (Note 4)</td>
<td>29,037</td>
<td>43,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program-related investments (net of allowance for possible losses of $7,435,000 in 1975 and $7,762,000 in 1974)</td>
<td>11,342</td>
<td>8,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land and buildings (Note 5)</td>
<td>21,949</td>
<td>23,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other real estate, at cost</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>1,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,070,728</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,830,077</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid grants</td>
<td>224,450</td>
<td>269,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable (Note 4)</td>
<td>17,596</td>
<td>21,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal excise tax payable</td>
<td>3,699</td>
<td>4,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>245,745</strong></td>
<td><strong>294,646</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitments (Note 7)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund balance</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated</td>
<td>65,859</td>
<td>154,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unappropriated</td>
<td>1,759,124</td>
<td>1,380,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total fund balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,824,983</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,535,431</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For notes to financial statements, see page 69.
Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dividends</td>
<td>$ 54,673</td>
<td>$ 62,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>39,840</td>
<td>48,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>94,513</strong></td>
<td><strong>110,718</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less—Expenses incurred in the production of income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for federal excise tax (Note 6)</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>5,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,522</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>85,213</strong></td>
<td><strong>101,196</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expenditures

Program activities
- Grants approved—organizations (Note 3) 160,737 199,346
- Grants approved—individuals 6,993 11,356
- Direct conduct of charitable activities* 8,517 10,535
- Program management (Note 5) 18,575 19,628
- Provision for possible losses on program-related investments 420 969
- **Total** 195,242 241,734

General administrative (Note 5) 9,426 9,821

**Excess of expenditures over income before appreciation (depreciation) on investments and Ford Motor Company Class A stock**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excess</strong></td>
<td><strong>(119,455)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(150,359)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appreciation (depreciation) on (Note 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>409,007</td>
<td>(1,056,032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Motor Company Class A stock</td>
<td></td>
<td>(119,905)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>409,007</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1,175,937)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase (decrease) in fund balance during the year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance at beginning of year</td>
<td>1,535,431</td>
<td>2,861,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance at end of year</td>
<td><strong>$1,824,989</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,535,431</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents program activities conducted directly by the Foundation rather than by grantees.

For notes to financial statements, see page 69.
Statement of Sources and Uses of Cash (Cash Basis) [Note 1]
FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1975 AND 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash provided by income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends and interest</td>
<td>$90,808</td>
<td>$108,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less—Expenses incurred in the production of income</td>
<td>5,815</td>
<td>5,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by income</td>
<td><strong>84,993</strong></td>
<td><strong>103,115</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Uses of cash</strong></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payments related to program activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant payments</td>
<td>162,722</td>
<td>189,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct conduct of charitable activities</td>
<td>8,795</td>
<td>10,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program management expenses</td>
<td>18,031</td>
<td>19,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General administrative expenses</td>
<td>9,450</td>
<td>9,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal excise tax</td>
<td>4,273</td>
<td>5,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total uses of cash</strong></td>
<td><strong>203,271</strong></td>
<td><strong>234,455</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Excess of cash used over cash provided by income</strong></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($118,278)</td>
<td>($131,340)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cash provided by net disposition of investments and Ford Motor Company stock</strong></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on disposition of investments</td>
<td>$1,786,546</td>
<td>$1,285,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on disposition of Ford Motor Company Class A stock</td>
<td></td>
<td>289,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cash provided by net disposition of investments</strong></td>
<td>1,786,546</td>
<td>1,575,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less—Purchase of investments</td>
<td>1,665,332</td>
<td>1,416,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash provided by net disposition of investments and Ford Motor Company stock</strong></td>
<td>121,214</td>
<td>159,051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cash (used) provided by changes in other assets and liabilities</strong></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of annuity held for assignment to the Fund for Henry Ford Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>(49,718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net condemnation awards on disposition of other real estate</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in program-related investments</td>
<td>(3,447)</td>
<td>(1,153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities sold under purchase-resale agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in cash balances</td>
<td>2,293</td>
<td>8,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, net</td>
<td>(1,782)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cash (used) provided by changes in other assets and liabilities</strong></td>
<td>(2,938)</td>
<td>(27,711)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cash (used) provided by changes in other assets and liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$118,278</strong></td>
<td><strong>$131,340</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For notes to financial statements, see opposite page.
Note 1—Summary of Accounting Policies

Investments: All investments are carried at market value. Unrealized and realized gains or losses (other than on Ford Motor Company Class A stock) are determined by comparison of cost to market value or proceeds, respectively, cost being determined on an identified lot basis.

For Ford Motor Company Class A stock, in 1974 realized losses were determined by a comparison of proceeds with the market value at the beginning of the year.

Market values are based on quotations where available. Market values for investments purchased through direct negotiation, and thus with limited marketability, have been determined in the manner described below, which includes recognition of risk factors where appropriate:

Fixed income securities are valued on the basis of a comparison of the instrument's yield with current bond market yields for appropriate commercial debt instruments.

Fixed income securities with equity participation are similarly valued and include a value for equity participation in appropriate instances.

Equity participations in real estate and debt instruments with and without equity participation secured by real estate are valued at estimated realizable values as determined by the Foundation's officers, with the assistance of outside real estate advisors.

All other securities for which quotations are not available, including certain equity securities purchased through direct negotiation, are valued at or below cost, which in the aggregate does not exceed estimated realizable values as determined by the Foundation's officers.

The accounts of wholly owned subsidiaries, formed solely for the purpose of holding land for investment, have been consolidated with those of the Foundation.

Land and buildings, other than those held for investment: Land owned by the Foundation is carried at cost and buildings are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation on buildings is recorded using the straight-line method based on their estimated useful lives.

Income and expenditures: Income is recorded when earned, even if not received, and expenditures are recorded when incurred, even if not paid. Grants are recorded as expenses at the time of approval by the trustees or the president.

Note 2—Investments

The cost of investments held at September 30, 1975 and 1974, was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities</td>
<td>$481,536,000</td>
<td>$421,739,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities with equity participation</td>
<td>70,479,000</td>
<td>75,606,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities</td>
<td>1,583,294,000</td>
<td>1,782,413,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,135,309,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,279,758,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Market values for investments purchased through direct negotiation, and thus with limited marketability, amounted to $122,635,000 at September 30, 1975 and $164,741,000 at September 30, 1974 (see Note 1).

Appreciation on investments in 1975 comprised unrealized appreciation of $441,170,000, less realized losses of $32,163,000 on dispositions. Comparative amounts for 1974 were unrealized depreciation of $974,380,000 and realized losses of $81,452,000, respectively.

Depreciation on Ford Motor Company Class A stock in 1974 comprised realized losses of $119,905,000 on dispositions.

For the basis used in determining realized and unrealized appreciation (depreciation), refer to Note 1.

Note 3—Annuity Held for Assignment to the Fund for Henry Ford Hospital

The annuity that was held for assignment to the Fund for Henry Ford Hospital, a Michigan nonprofit organization established for the purpose of assisting the hospital's future development, was assigned to the Fund on December 6, 1974 and included in grants approved for 1975.

Note 4—Receivables and Accounts Payable

Receivables and accounts payable at September 30, 1975 and 1974 comprised the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest and dividends</td>
<td>$12,602,000</td>
<td>$14,336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities sold but not delivered</td>
<td>14,663,000</td>
<td>27,131,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,772,000</td>
<td>1,649,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,037,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,116,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities purchased but not received</td>
<td>$13,892,000</td>
<td>$16,098,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3,704,000</td>
<td>4,916,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,596,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,014,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note 5—Land and Buildings

Balances for land and buildings at September 30, 1975 and 1974 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$3,736,000</td>
<td>$3,736,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office building</td>
<td>17,782,000</td>
<td>18,124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(net of accumulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depreciation of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,303,000 in 1975,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and $2,869,000 in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office building</td>
<td>431,000</td>
<td>1,264,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and housing facilities (net of accumulated depreciation of $180,000 in 1975, and $501,000 in 1974)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$21,949,000</td>
<td>$23,124,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depreciation is included in program management expenses and general administrative expenses and aggregated $538,000 in 1975 and $523,000 in 1974.

Note 6—Provision for Federal Excise Tax

In accordance with the provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1969, federal excise tax has been provided in the amount of $3,500,000. Tax at 4% is payable principally on investment income, which includes dividends, interest, and net realized gains on security transactions as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. Net realized losses on security transactions may not be offset against dividend and interest income, nor carried back or forward to offset capital gains.

Note 7—Commitments

At September 30, 1975, the Foundation had made loan commitments aggregating approximately $60.0 million, principally related to real estate investments and the purchase of marketable bonds. In addition, the Foundation has guaranteed to various lending institutions loans aggregating approximately $7.7 million of which approximately $5.2 million is currently outstanding.

Opinion of Independent Accountants

TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE FORD FOUNDATION

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of financial position and related statements of income, expenditures, and changes in fund balance and of sources and uses of cash present fairly the financial position of the Ford Foundation at September 30, 1975 and 1974, its income, expenses and changes in fund balance and the sources and uses of cash for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied. Our examinations of these statements were made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances, including confirmation of the cash and securities owned at September 30, 1975 and 1974 by correspondence with the depositaries.

Price Waterhouse & Co.

NEW YORK, N.Y.
DECEMBER 11, 1975
Summary of Investments†
SEPTEMBER 30, 1975 AND 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investments</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in millions)</td>
<td>Par Cent Of Total Estimated Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Market ($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Government and U.S.</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>119.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market instruments</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>127.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable bonds</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>160.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds with limited marketability</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>481.5</td>
<td>455.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equity participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities with limited</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marketability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible debentures</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>104.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common and convertible preferred</td>
<td>1,422.7</td>
<td>1,369.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities with limited</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marketability</td>
<td>1,573.3</td>
<td>1,508.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,135.5</td>
<td>$2,003.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) See Note 1 to financial statements.

†A complete list of investments is published separately and is available on request.
### Income, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>1974</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dividend and interest income (net of related expenses)</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td>109.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal excise tax</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures—per income statements (grants, direct conduct of charitable activities and program management and general administrative expenses)</td>
<td>204.6</td>
<td>251.6</td>
<td>237.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative excess of expenditures over income</td>
<td>2,006.6</td>
<td>1,887.2</td>
<td>1,736.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized gain (loss) on disposition of securities</td>
<td>(32.2)</td>
<td>(206.4)</td>
<td>(72.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on securities held at end of year(2)</td>
<td>441.2</td>
<td>(969.5)</td>
<td>(39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance at market value, at end of year (3)</td>
<td>1,825.0</td>
<td>1,535.4</td>
<td>2,861.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures on a cash basis</td>
<td>203.3</td>
<td>284.2(4)</td>
<td>244.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statement of Portfolio

#### Investments

**Fixed income securities**

- Net purchases (sales): 65.7
- Market value at end of year(5): 455.6
- % of total portfolio: 22.7%

**Fixed income securities with equity participation**

- Net purchases (sales): 1.5
- Market value at end of year(5): 39.6
- % of total portfolio: 2.0%

**Equity securities**

- Net purchases (sales): (176.8)
- Market value at end of year(5): 1,508.7
- % of total portfolio: 75.3%

#### Ford Motor Company Class A stock (nonvoting)

- Shares disposed of during year: 6.8
- Market value of shares disposed of: 281.5
- % of total portfolio: 6.8

**Ford Motor Company Class A stock (nonvoting)**

- Market value at end of year(5): 6.8
- % of total portfolio: 406.4

**September 30 market price per common share ($)**

- % of total portfolio: 59%

**Percentage held of total outstanding shares of Ford Motor Company**

- 7%

### Total Portfolio

**Market value at end of year(5):** 2,003.9 1,699.0 3,064.8

---

(1) Shares of Ford Motor Company Class A stock are stated in millions. All other figures, with the exception of percentages, are stated in millions of dollars.  
(2) For 1971 and subsequent years shown net of provisions for deferred federal excise tax on cumulative net unrealized gains.  
(3) The "Fund Balance" includes contributions from original donors, plus interest and dividend income and realized and unrealized appreciation on investments (net of provisions for deferred federal excise tax on cumulative net unrealized gains), less grants approved, expenditures for the direct conduct of charitable activities, program management and general administrative expenses, and provisions for federal excise tax, all since inception. It differs, therefore, from the market valuation of the total portfolio which is shown on the last line of the above summary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in millions)(^{11})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121.6</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>218.5</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>238.0</td>
<td>210.2</td>
<td>262.6</td>
<td>362.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,603.7</td>
<td>1,495.6</td>
<td>1,397.3</td>
<td>1,361.3</td>
<td>1,212.5</td>
<td>1,156.8</td>
<td>1,052.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(18.4)</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>(35.3)</td>
<td>(12.6)</td>
<td>(4.6)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>(25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196.8</td>
<td>521.9</td>
<td>116.1</td>
<td>(534.4)</td>
<td>173.6</td>
<td>528.5</td>
<td>(575.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,106.3</td>
<td>3,036.0</td>
<td>2,550.0</td>
<td>2,571.2</td>
<td>3,207.0</td>
<td>3,093.9</td>
<td>2,661.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>262.6</td>
<td>268.3</td>
<td>284.9</td>
<td>286.7</td>
<td>269.6</td>
<td>192.3</td>
<td>229.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>167.5</th>
<th>67.3</th>
<th>51.9</th>
<th>175.7</th>
<th>163.8</th>
<th>98.0</th>
<th>65.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>362.5</td>
<td>504.8</td>
<td>543.5</td>
<td>590.0</td>
<td>795.2</td>
<td>955.1</td>
<td>1,046.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>8.9</th>
<th>25.7</th>
<th>18.8</th>
<th>5.9</th>
<th>(3.6)</th>
<th>(4.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>254.9</th>
<th>37.0</th>
<th>165.4</th>
<th>175.8</th>
<th>148.3</th>
<th>113.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,145.2</td>
<td>1,424.4</td>
<td>979.4</td>
<td>1,094.0</td>
<td>1,053.5</td>
<td>821.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>7.0</th>
<th>5.7</th>
<th>3.4</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>4.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>466.0</td>
<td>349.0</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>238.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>733.4</td>
<td>1,250.7</td>
<td>1,226.2</td>
<td>1,166.5</td>
<td>1,711.9</td>
<td>1,728.2</td>
<td>1,447.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Year | 3,301.2 | 3,279.5  | 2,833.5  | 2,915.9  | 3,800.0  | 3,538.1  | 3,051.4  |

---

\(^{11}\)Includes $49.7 million representing annuity held for assignment to the Fund for Henry Ford Hospital—see Note (3) to the financial statements.

\(^{12}\)Includes securities with limited marketability, which are valued at cost for the years prior to 1966.

\(^{13}\)Based on the September 30 market price of Ford Motor Company common stock.

\(^{14}\)Market values are based on quotations where available—see Note (5) above, and Note (1) to the financial statements, for the basis used to determine the values of securities with limited marketability.
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Advocacy, Inc. 17
Affiliate Artists, Inc. 31
Africa Contemporary Record 49
Africa Publication Trust 49
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Bedford-Stuyvesant Restoration Corporation 17
Beirut University College 53
* Institute of Women's Studies 53
Benedict College 5, 5
Bennett College 5
Better Boys Foundation 17
Bihar, Government of 37
Biological Sciences Curriculum Study 54
Birla Institute of Technology and Science 38
Birzeit College 52
Bishop College 5, 5
Black Child Development Institute 20
Black Economic Research Center 2, 3
Board for Advancement of Literature 38
Bogazici University 53
Bogor Agricultural Institute 16, 16, 38
Books for the People Fund 11
Boricua, Universidad 5, 5
Bosphorus University 53
Boston Ballet 36
Boston Theological Institute 7
Boston University 6, 33, 55
Botsman Extension College 49
Bowdoin College 4, 33
Bradford University 33
Brandeis University 2, 2
Brasilia, University of 44
Brawijaya University 38
Brazil, Government of 44
Brazilian Center for Analysis and Planning 44, 44
Brazilian Foundation for the Development of Science Teaching 44
Brazilian National Library 44
Brazilian Society for Instruction 44
Bristol, University of 35
British Broadcasting Corporation 4
British Columbia, University of 14
Broadside T.V. 28
Brookings Institution 2, 7, 9, 23, 43, 46, 55, 56
Brown University 7
Brussels, Free University of 58

CDCs see Community Development Corporations
* CEDCO Capital Corp. 26
Cagayan de Oro, City of 41
Cairo, University of 18, 52
* Institute of Statistical Studies 52
California Center for Research and Education in Government 23, 28, 30
Institute of Peruvian Studies 44, 48
Institute of Politics 18
Institute for Propagation of the Dharma 38
Institute for Research on International Economics 57
Institute for Social and Economic Change 37
Institute of Society, Ethics, and the Life Sciences 2
Institute of Statistical Research and Training 37
Institute of Technology 38
Institutional Development and Economic Affairs Service 11, 13
Integrated Education Associates 10
Integrated Rural Development Programme 36
Interamerican Institute of Agricultural Sciences 43
Inter-American Planning Society 43
*Interdenominational Theological Center 5
Interlochen Center for the Arts 59
International Association for Cultural Freedom 55, 56
International Association of Students in Economic and Commercial Sciences 8
International Broadcasting Office 12
International Broadcast Institute 54, 55
*International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas 55
International Center for Conservation (Rome) 36
International Center of Theatrical Research 32
International Center of Tropical Agriculture 42, 47
International City Management Association 23
International City Management Association Retirement Corp. 23
*International Committee on Applied Research in Population Dynamics 59
International Committee on the Management of Population Programs 58, 59
International Contemporary Music Exchange 31
International Council for Educational Development 54
International Council of Museums 33, 36
*International Council on the Quality of Working Life 23
International Criminal Justice Office 12
International Council of Scientific Unions 16
*International Crops Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics 39, 40
International Development Center of Japan 37, 42
International Economic Association 55
International Extension College 49, 51
International House of Japan 42
International Institute of Applied Systems Analysis 24, 56
International Institute for Environment and Development 16
International Institute of Rural Reconstruction 42
International Institute for Strategic Studies 54
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture 49, 50
International Legal Center 47, 54, 55
International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center 42, 43, 47
International Marketing Institute 40
International Pen Club, Vietnamese Chapter 38
International Potato Center 42
International Press Institute 42, 49
International Rice Research Institute 36, 37, 38, 39
International Social Science Council 56
International Studies Association 55
International Training Institute of the United States 32
International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources 16
International Union of the History and Philosophy of Science 4
Inter-University Centre of Post Graduate Studies 16
Interuniversity Communications Council 6, 7
Iowa, State University of 4, 33, 56, 57
Islamabad, University of 40
Israel Foundation Trustees 52
Jakarta Institute of the Arts 39
Jakarta, Special District of 39
Janata Shishu Mandal 38
Japan Center for International Exchange 42
Japan Society 42
Javeriana University, Pontifical Catholic 47
Jobs for Prog. 3, 42
*Joffrey Ballet 32
Johns Hopkins University 14, 24, 31, 32, 40, 49, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58
Joint Center for Community Studies 5
*Joint Center for Political Studies 3
Joint Council on Economic Education 11
Joint Studies on Latin American Economic Integration 43, 46
Jordan, Government of 52
*Journal of African Writing (Okike) 49
Juliard School 31
Juiz de Fora University of 44, 49
Junta of the Agreement of Cartagena 46, 48
Just Jobs, Inc. 17
*KCET (Los Angeles) 28, 30
*KERA (Dallas) 28
*KQED, Inc. (San Francisco) 27, 28, 30
Kansas City Art Institute 33
Kansas City 31
*Kansas State University 4
Kansas University of 4, 58
Karachi, University of 40
Karnatak Historical Research Society 55
Karolinska Institute 58
Kasettart University 42
Kent State University 4, 56
Kentucky Opera Association 31
Kenya, Government of 50
Kenya Institute of Management 50
Keralas, University of 38, 41
Khartoum, University of 53
Khmer, Government of (Cambodia) 38
Khn Kaen University 42
Kiel Institute of World Economics 55
Kodaly Musical Training Institute 34, 34
Korea-Chooengang Educational Foundation 42
Korean Institute for Research in the Behavioral Sciences 36
Kyoto University 58
L.Q.C. Lamar Society 10
La Mama Experimental Theatre Club 32, 33
Laboratory for Experimental Medicine 58
Lagos, University of 51
*Institute of Child Health 54
Lahore Museum 38
*Lake George Opera 31
Lake Michigan Federation 16
Lambung Mangkurat University 39
Language Association of Eastern Africa 49
Latin American Economic Integration Center 43
Latin American Faculty of Social Sciences 43
Latin American Institute for Economic and Social Planning 50
*Latin American Social Science Council 43, 44, 49
Latin American Studies Association 43, 48
*Law University 51
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law 10, 22, 27, 52
Leadership Council for Metropolitan Open Communities 57, 58
League of Cities/Conference of Mayors 18, 20
League of Women Voters Education Fund 18, 20
League of Women Voters, Overseas Education Fund of the 39
Learning Institute of North Carolina 11
Lebanese University 53
Lebanon, Republic of 52, 53
Legal Action Center of the City of New York 22
Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 22
Libera, University of 51
Library of Congress 38
Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts 33
Lincoln University 5
Linguistic Society of America 54
*Liszt Academy of Music 57
Ljubljana, University of 56
London Business School Charitable Trust 9
London School of Economics and Political Science 42, 57
London, University of 49, 51, 54, 55
Lone Mountain College 12
*Long Wharf Theatre 32
Loretto-Hilton Theatre 32
Los Angeles Board of Education 10
Loughborough University of Technology 20, 23
Louisiana State University 56
Louvain, Catholic University of 9, 55, 56, 58
Lower Kensington Environmental Center 17
Lucknow, University of 38
Lucca, University of 58
Lutheran Medical Center 22, 25
Lyric Opera of Chicago 31

MCA Educational Activities 31
Maghrebian Historical Review 52
Maharashtra, Government of (India) 38
Mahidol University 42
Makerere University College 50
Malaya, University of 40
Malaysia, Government of 39, 40
*Ministry of Education 40
Management Foundation of East Java 39
Manchester, University of 58
Manhattan Country School 12
Manpower Demonstration Research Corp. 18
Marga Institute 37
*Mark Taper Forum 32
Marlboro School of Music 31
Martin Luther King, Jr. Center for Social Change 19
Maryland Institute 33
Martha's Vineyard 17, 18
Merrill-Palmer Institute 59
Metropolitan Applied Research Center 19, 21
Metropolitan Fund 59
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 19
Mexican American Unity Council 17, 18, 22
Mexican Institute of Social Studies 48
Mexican Population Association 48
*Mexico ex-U.S. of Mexican States 48
Mexico, College of 48
Mexico, National Autonomous University of 58
Mexican Development Institute 48
Miami, University of 17, 18, 58
Michelson Institute of Science and Intellectual Freedom, Christian 42
Michigan State University 4
Michigan, University of 2, 2, 7, 9, 16, 20, 23, 23, 33, 42, 43, 48, 49, 54, 55, 57, 58
*Department of Population Planning 58
Mid-America, University of 4, 4
Middle Cities Education Association 9, 59
Middle East Institute 52
Middle East Studies Association 52
Middle East Technical University 53
Midwest Universities Consortium for International Activities 42

79
Milan, University of 58
Millisaps College 18
Minas Gerais, Federal University of 44
Mindanao State University 41
Minneapolis Society of Fine Arts 33
Minnesota Metropolitan State College 4
Minnesota Opera Company 31
Minnesota, University of 7, 55
Minority Contractors Assistance Project 18
Minority Economic Development Corporation 18
Minority Equity Capital Co. 26
Minority Rights Group 55, 56
Missnericordia, College 4
Mississippi Action for Community Education 17, 19, 25
Mississippi Research and Development Center 18
Missouri, University of 4, 28, 30, 38
Mitarjanet 37
Monterrey Institute of Technology and Advanced Studies 47, 48
Montreal, University of 56
Morehouse College 5
Moroccan Association of Young Businessmen 52
Morris Brown College 5
Mount Sinai School of Medicine 58
Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay 38
Municipal Employees Legal Services Fund 22
Museum of Fine Arts 33
Music Critics Association 31
Music Hall Center, Inc. 59
Music Library Association 31
Mutual Real Estate Investment Trust 27

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund 19
NAACP Special Contribution Fund 19, 21
NOW Legal Defense and Educational Fund 12, 13
Nairobi, University of 50
Nashville and Davidson County, Metropolitan Government of 14
Nassau County (New York) 23
Natal, University of 52
National Academy of Education 11
National Academy of Sciences 2, 3, 7, 18, 42, 43
*Assembly of Behavioral and Social Sciences
*National Board of Graduate Education 7
*National Academy of Scientific Research and Technology 16
National Archives 39
National Association of Accountants for the Public Interest 2, 3
*National Association for the Advancement of Colored People 11, 12
National Association of College and University Business Officers 4, 5, 6
National Audubon Society 16
National Autonomous University of; see distinctive name
National Behavioral Sciences and Public Opinion Research Institute 48
National Bureau of Economic Research 8, 44, 55
National Catholic Educational Association 12
National Center for Housing Management 21
National Center for Language Development 39, 41
National Center for Performing Arts 38
National Center for State Courts 22
*National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education 7
National Christian Council of Kenya 50
National Commission for Manpower Policy 18
National Commission on Social Property 48
National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing 21
National Committee for Citizens in Education 4, 10
National Committee for Cultural Resources 31
National Committee on Household Employment 20, 24
National Conference of Black Lawyers, Inc. 18
National Conference of Christians and Jews 15
National Council for the Advancement of Education Writing 10
National Council of Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. 63
National Council of La Raza 18, 19, 21, 22
National Council of Negro Women 19, 21
National Council of the Peruvian University 48
National Council for Research 53
National Dairy Development Board 37
*National Development Planning Agency 38
National Economic and Development Authority 41
National Economic and Social Research Institute 38
National Education Commission 42
National Endowment for the Arts 28, 30, 32, 33
National Endowment for the Humanities 6, 8, 35, 43
National Foundation for the Improvement of Education 12, 13
*Resource Center on Sex Roles in Education 13
National Indian Training and Research Center 11, 11
*National Institute of Archeology 39
National Institute of Development Administration 42
*National Institute for Educational Research and Development 48
National Institute of Health and Medical Research 58
National Institute of Public Administration 46, 48
National Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics 50, 52
National Library (Vietnam) 38
National Manpower Policy Task Force 18
National Municipal League 23
National Museums of Kenya 50
National Neighbors 21, 24
National Opinion Research Center 19, 22
National Planning Commission 56, 56
*National Planning Commission (Nepal) 37
National Planning Commission (Sudan) 53
National Planning Institute 48
National Scholarship Service Fund for Negro Students 5
National School of Agriculture 47
National Training and Development Service for State and Local Government 23
National University (Indonesia) 39
National University of; see distinctive name
National Urban Coalition 10
National Urban Fellows 24
National Urban League 19, 21
Native American Rights Fund 19, 22
Natural Resources Defense Council 16
Navajo Community College 17
Nebraska, University of 4, 10, 10
Neighborhood Housing Services (Pittsburgh, Pa.) 22
Neighborhood Housing Services (Washington, D.C.) 22
Neighborhood Housing Services of Baltimore 22
Nepal, Government of 37
New Delhi, Inc. 9, 10, 59
New Energy Conservatory of Music 31
*New England Energy Policy Council 15
New Haven Foundation 34
New Haven Legal Assistance Association 22
New Jersey, State of 20
*Department of Institutions and Agencies 35

*Department of the Public Advocate 23
*Office of Dispute Settlement 23
New Mexico Highlands University 5
New Mexico, University of 11, 33
New York City Board of Education 10, 11
New York City Public Institute 24
New York, City University of 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 49
*Baruch College 7
New York Historical Society 33
New York Hot Jazz Society 32
New York Interface Development Project 24
*New York Jazz Museum 32
New York Public Library, Astor, Lenox, and Tilden Foundations 6, 59
New York, State of 10
New York State University of, at Albany 4, 6, 7, 22, 23, 39
at Buffalo 7
*Empire State College 5
at Stony Brook 34
New York State Urban Development Corporation 21
New York University 7, 8, 9, 11, 22, 24, 34, 48, 53
*Institute of Judicial Administration 13
Newark Community Center of the Arts 34, 35
Newark Museum Association 33
Newton College 51
Nigeria, Government of 51
East Central State of Nigeria, Government of 51
Western Nigeria, Government of 51
Nigeria, University of 51
*Faculty of Education 51
Nigerian Institute of Management 51
Nineteenth Ward Community Association 21, 24
North Carolina Manpower Development Corp. 17
North Carolina, University of 12, 43, 57
*Carolina Population Center 58
Northwestern University 5, 9, 10, 11, 28, 30, 49, 50, 55, 56
Notre Dame Educational Association 41
Notre Dame, University of 6, 19
Nova University 4, 5
Nutrition Society of India 38

Oakland Neighborhood Housing Services 22
Obo, Inc. 39
Oglala Sioux Community College 5
Ohio State University 10, 10, 33, 58
Open University 41
Opera Association of New Mexico 31
Opera Festival Association 31
Opera Society of Washington, D.C. 31
Oregon State Legislature 10
Oregon State University 37
*Oregon Symphony Orchestra 32
Organization for Applied Sciences in Society 21
Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development 9, 10
Otis Art Institute of Los Angeles County 33
Otterbein College 4
Overseas Development Council 54, 54
Overseas Development Institute 54, 54
Oxford University 11, 48, 56

PEDCO, Inc. 21, 26
Pace, University of 4
Pajajaran University 38
Pakistan Administrative Staff College 40
Pakistan, Government of 40
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics 40
Pakistan National Council of the Arts 40
Palace of Arts and Science Foundation 11
Pan African Institute for Development Council 49
Pan American Federation of Associations of Medical Schools 43
Pan American Health Organization 46
*Thessaloniki, University of 34
†Third Press, The 25
Tokyo, University of 58
Toledo Museum of Art 33
Toronto, University of 57
Town Affiliation Commission of the United States 49, 52
Trade Policy Research Center 55, 55
†Trans-Bay Engineers & Builders 25
Transnational Family Research Institute 57
Travel Program for Foreign Diplomats 59
Traveler’s Aid International Social Service of America 20, 24
Triangle Association of Colleges 6
Trilateral Commission 55
Trivienti Art Society 38
Trondheim, University of 58
Trust for Public Land 16
Tufts College 48
Tulane University 57
Tunis, University of 52
Tunisia, Republic of 52
Turkish Management Association 53
Tuskegee Institute 5, 5, 6

UNESCO see United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
Ubolratani Province 42
Union Artists 52
United Birmingham Hospitals 58
United Church of Christ 20
Union for Experimenting Colleges and Universities 4, 5
United Foundation 59
United Mexican States 48
*United Nations 36
United Nations Association of U.S.A. 55
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization 38, 54
National Commission of the Philippines 41
United Nations Social Defense Research Institute 22
United Negro College Fund 5
United Neighborhood Houses of New York 21, 24
United States Capitol Historical Society 59
United States Government
*Department of Health, Education and Welfare 4
*Department of Housing and Urban Development 25
Department of State 55
*Environmental Protection Agency 16
*Federal Bureau of Investigation 27
*Federal Communications Commission 26, 28, 30
Library of Congress 35
Smithsonian Institution 23
United States-South Africa Leader Exchange Program 52
University of; see distinctive name
University Council for Educational Administration 11
University Foundation for Endocrinology and Fertility 44
University Grants Commission 37
*University Without Walls 5
Uppsala, University of 58
Urban Institute 4, 2, 14, 18, 24, 28
*Cable Television Information Center 28
†Urban National Corp. 26
Urban Planning Institute of Slovenia 56
Urdu Development Board 40
Utah, University of 34

Valle, University of (Colombia) 47, 48
Valle, University of (Guatemala) 46
Vancity University 38
Vanderbilt University 4, 6, 9, 24, 26, 28, 30
Venezuela, Republic of 48
Vera Institute of Justice 22
Vermont, University of 5
Vicosa, Federal University of 44
†Vic-Way Broadcasting Corp. 26
Vienna Institute for Comparative Economic Studies 57, 57
Vietnam, Government of 38
Vietnamese Chapter of International Pen Club 38
Virginia Polytechnic Institute 2
Visual Arts Center of Alaska 34
Voter Education Project 23
*WETA (Washington, D.C.) 28
WGBH Educational Foundation 28
*WNET (New York) 27, 28
Wadsworth Athenaeum 33
Wake Forest College 57
Walters Art Gallery 33
Warren Legal Training Program, Inc., Earl 18
Warwick, University of 55
Washington Center for Metropolitan Studies 24
Washington Drama Society 32
Washington, State of, Department of Social and Health Services 17
Washington University 14, 15, 20, 34, 58
Washington, University of 5, 14, 15, 42, 56, 57, 58
*Office of Environmental Mediation 15
*Water Technology Center 37
Watts Labor Community Action Committee 18
Wayne County Federated Library System 59
Wayne State University 13, 58
Webster College 11
*Weizmann Institute of Science 59
Wellesley College 5, 54
West Bengal, Government of 38
West Indies, University of the 46
*Institute of Social and Economic Research 46, 46
West Mt. Airy Neighbors 21
West Pakistan, Government of 40
West Pakistan Institute of Management 40
Westchester Residential Opportunities 21
Western Michigan University 34
Western Ontario, University of 56, 58
Western Opera Theater 31
Wilder Opportunities for Women, Inc. 17, 18
Wilberforce University 5, 5, 6
Williams College 7
Wilson College 6
Wilson International Center for Scholars, Woodrow 2
Wilson National Fellowship Foundation, Woodrow 7, 8
Windsor, University of 57
Winston-Salem State University 5
Wintonthur Museum, Henry Francis du Pont 33
Wisconsin, University of 5, 7, 9, 11, 23, 39, 42, 49, 52, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59
*Center for Development 55
*Witherspoon Development Corp. 26
Woman’s Hospital 48
Women’s Action Alliance 20
Women’s Law Fund 20
Women’s Law Project 20, 23
Women’s Resource Center 59
*Woodlawn Community Development Corporation 25
Woodlawn Organization (The) 18
Wooster Group, The 32
Worcester Art Museum 33, 34
Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology 58
Worcester Polytechnic Institute 5
Work in America Institute 20, 23
World University Service in Canada 43
Xavier Labour Relations Institute 38
Xavier University 5

Yale University 2, 2, 4, 6, 9, 14, 17, 18, 34, 41, 42, 49, 56, 58
Yaounde, University of 51, 54
Young Filmmaker’s Foundation 34
Zaire, National University of 52
Zambia, University of 50
Zion Investment Associates 25
Zion Non-Profit Charitable Trust 18

PHOTOGRAPHS Where no credit is listed, photographs were obtained from the institution mentioned in the caption. 3—Graduate School of Public Policy (Tom F. Walters); 12—Larry Smith for Black Star; 15—Allen Green; Visual Departures; 19—Ford Foundation (Tyrone Duke); 21—Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund (Todd Weinstein); 45—John Littlewood.